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REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor’s Report

Board Members
Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board
Minneapolis, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and the General Fund of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 6, 2022.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, we did identify a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness and another deficiency that we consider to be a significant deficiency.
A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as item 2020-002 to be a material weakness and item 2020-001 to be a significant deficiency.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

**Minnesota Legal Compliance**

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board failed to comply with the provisions of conflicts of interest, claims and disbursements, and miscellaneous provisions sections of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s noncompliance with the above referenced provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. As described in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations is another matter reported as item 2020-003.

**Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s Response to Findings**

The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s responses to the internal control and legal compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the Corrective Action Plan. The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.
Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Julie Blaha                                       /s/Dianne Syverson  
JULIE BLAHA                                       DIANNE SYVERSON, CPA  
STATE AUDITOR                                    DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR  

January 6, 2022
MINNEAPOLIS YOUTH COORDINATING BOARD
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

I. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INTERNAL CONTROL

Finding Number: 2020-001

Prior Year Finding Number: 2019-001

Repeat Finding Since: 2004

Segregation of Duties

Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control. Adequate segregation of duties is a key internal control in preventing and detecting errors or irregularities. To protect the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s assets, proper segregation of the record-keeping, custody, and authorization functions should be in place, and where management decides segregation of duties may not be cost effective, compensating controls should be in place.

Condition: Due to the limited number of personnel performing the business functions of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board, segregation of accounting duties necessary to ensure adequate internal accounting control is not possible. Generally, segregation of duties can be attained with the hiring of additional personnel; however, this becomes a significant cost consideration to entities such as the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board.

Context: This situation is not unusual in operations the size of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board, but management should constantly be aware of this condition and realize that the concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desirable from an internal control point of view.

Effect: Inadequate segregation of duties could adversely affect the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s ability to detect misstatements in a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.
Cause: The size of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board and its staffing limits the internal control that management can design and implement into the organization.

Recommendation: We recommend the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s officials and management be mindful that limited staffing increases the risks in safeguarding the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s assets and the proper recording of its financial activity and, where possible, implement oversight procedures to ensure that internal control policies and procedures are being followed.

View of Responsible Official: Concur

Finding Number: 2020-002

Prior Year Finding Number: N/A

Repeat Finding Since: N/A

Audit Adjustment

Criteria: A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements of the financial statements on a timely basis. Auditing standards define a material weakness as a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Condition: A material audit adjustment was identified that resulted in significant changes to the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements.

Context: The inability to detect material misstatements in the financial statements increases the likelihood that the financial statements would not be fairly presented. The adjustment was found during the audit; however, independent external auditors cannot be considered part of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control.
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Effect: The following audit adjustment was reviewed and approved by the appropriate staff and is reflected in the financial statements: in the General Fund and governmental activities, unearned revenue was increased and revenue was decreased by $369,610.

Cause: This activity was overlooked or incorrectly recorded when the financial statement information was prepared.

Recommendation: We recommend the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board implement additional procedures over financial reporting that include a comprehensive review of balances, disclosures, and supporting documentation by a qualified individual to ensure the information is complete and accurate so the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements are fairly presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

View of Responsible Official: Concur

II. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

Finding Number: 2020-003

Prior Year Finding Number: 2019-002

Repeat Finding Since: 2019

Retiree Insurance Continuation

Criteria: Minnesota Statutes, section 471.61, subdivision 2b, requires that former local government employees be allowed to continue in the employer-provided health insurance. Until the retiree reaches age 65, he or she must be pooled in the same group as active employees.

Condition: The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board is only offering retirees insurance through the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA), which does not meet the requirements of Minn. Stat. § 471.61, subd. 2b, for retiree insurance continuation.
Context: The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board did not properly follow Minnesota statutes for retiree insurance continuation.

Effect: Noncompliance with Minn. Stat. § 471.61, subd. 2b.

Cause: The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s insurance policy was updated effective January 1, 2021, to allow employees to remain on their plan once they retire, however, the current insurance policy does not allow employees to remain on their plan once they retire. The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board has not had any employees retire since its inception through the current year.

Recommendation: We recommend the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board follow Minn. Stat. § 471.61, subd. 2b, for retiree insurance continuation.

View of Responsible Official: Acknowledge
Finding Number: 2020-001
Finding Title: Segregation of Duties

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:
Ann DeGroot, Executive Director

Corrective Action Planned:
While understanding that strict segregation of accounting duties is optimum, it is difficult to achieve in an organization with only six employees. We will continue to rely on the City of Minneapolis to oversee all bank transactions and maintain all vendor information while ensuring that management and the YCB Board members are aware of the finding.

Anticipated Completion Date:
Ongoing

Finding Number: 2020-002
Finding Title: Audit Adjustment

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:
Jeremy Theis, Staff Accountant

Corrective Action Planned:
When the prior accountant left, a lot of specialized knowledge left the building in the preparation of the financial statements. The YCB will work with audit team to develop better more intuitive workpapers that can be used in the preparation of the financial statements.
Finding Number: 2020-003
Finding Title: Retiree Insurance Continuation

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Correct Action:
Ann DeGroot, Executive Director

Corrective Action Planned:

Since no employee has retired from the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board in the last twenty-five years, no violations of the state statutes have occurred. Effective January 1, 2021, The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board will offer all retirees access to Medicare Plan options through the Public Employees Insurance Program, administered by Innovo Benefits Administration.

Anticipated Completion Date:
January 1, 2021
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Finding Number: 2019-001
Repeat Finding Since: 2004
Finding Title: Segregation of Duties

Summary of Condition: The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board has one person who is responsible for performing the business functions.

Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported: The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board understands the importance of segregation of duties and will continue to rely on the City of Minneapolis to oversee all bank transactions and maintain all vendor information while ensuring that management and the YCB Board members are aware of the finding.

Status: Not Corrected. The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board management will continue to review processes and strengthen procedures where they can with their limited financial staff.

Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported?
Yes ____ No ____ X

Finding Number: 2019-002
Repeat Finding Since: N/A
Finding Title: Retiree Insurance Continuation

Summary of Condition: The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board is only offering retirees insurance through the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA), which does not meet the requirements of Minn. Stat. § 471.61, subd. 2b, for retiree insurance continuation.
Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported: Effective January 1, 2021, the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board will offer all retirees access to Medicare Plan options through the Public Employees Insurance Program, administered by Innovo Benefits Administration.

Status: Not Corrected. The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board did not change their policies until 2021.

Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported?
Yes _____ No _____

X