DECLARATION FOR ADOPTION OF THE TEN PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR CITIES AS DEVELOPED BY THE COUNCIL ON LOCAL RESULTS AND INNOVATION

THIS DECLARATION is made this day of June 4, 2012, by CITY OF PROCTOR, a Minnesota municipal corporation, ("Declarant"), 100 Pionk Drive, Proctor, Minnesota, 55810, in favor of the adoption of the ten model performance measures for cities developed by the Council of Local Results and Innovation.

WHEREAS, Declarant chooses to participate in the standards measure program created by the Council of Local Results and Innovation;

NOW THEREFORE Declarant makes this declaration and hereby declares that this declaration shall constitute adoption of the ten model performance measures for cities as developed by the Council of Local Results and Innovation, that the city is in the process of implementing a local performance measurement system as developed by the Council of Local Results and Innovation, that the city will report the results for calendar year 2011 prior to the end of calendar year 2012 through publication in the official newspaper, and that the city will survey its residents by the end of the calendar year on the services included in the performance benchmarks.

By:  
David Brenna, Mayor

By:  
James A. Rohweder, City Administrator

STATE OF MINNESOTA)

)SS

COUNTY OF ST LOUIS )

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 24th day of June, 2012, by David Brenna, Mayor and James A. Rohweder, City Administrator; of the city of Proctor, a Minnesota municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation, and pursuant to the authority granted by its City Council.

CAROL LYNN LIND
NOTARY PUBLIC-MINNESOTA

Notary Public
3. How would you rate the overall **appearance** of the city?
   a. Excellent 17 4 21
   b. Good 106 26 132
   c. Fair (Please Explain) 54 17 71
   d. Poor (Please Explain) 9 7 16
   e. Don’t know 0 0 0 240

4. How would you describe your overall **feeling of safety** in the city?
   a. Very safe 94 21 115
   b. Somewhat safe 69 27 96
   c. Somewhat unsafe (Please Explain) 11 4 15
   d. Very unsafe (Please Explain) 2 2 4
   e. Don’t know 1 0 1 231

5. How would you rate the overall **quality of fire protection services** in the city?
   a. Excellent 83 20 103
   b. Good 74 15 89
   c. Fair (Please Explain) 4 1 5
   d. Poor (Please Explain) 0 1 1
   e. Don’t know 17 14 31 229

6. How would you rate the overall **condition of city streets**?
   a. Excellent 5 1 6
   b. Good 74 16 90
   c. Fair (Please Explain) 63 18 81
   d. Poor (Please Explain) 33 17 50
   e. Don’t know 4 0 4 231

7. How would you rate the overall **quality of snowplowing on city streets**?
   a. Excellent 68 15 83
   b. Good 89 22 111
   c. Fair (Please Explain) 9 7 16
   d. Poor (Please Explain) 5 8 13
   e. Don’t know 5 0 5 228

8. How would you rate the **dependability and overall quality of city sanitary sewer service**?
   a. Excellent 37 9 46
   b. Good 101 28 129
   c. Fair (Please Explain) 7 2 9
   d. Poor (Please Explain) 6 3 9
   e. Don’t know 16 10 26 219

9. How would you rate the **dependability and overall quality of the city water supply**?
   a. Excellent 64 16 80
   b. Good 93 29 122
   c. Fair (Please Explain) 3 1 4
   d. Poor (Please Explain) 3 3 6
   e. Don’t know 6 3 9 221

10. How would you rate the overall **quality of city recreational programs and facilities** (e.g. parks, trails, park facilities, etc.)
    a. Excellent 25 4 29
    b. Good 105 30 135
    c. Fair (Please Explain) 18 8 26
    d. Poor (Please Explain) 4 6 10
    e. Don’t know 20 3 23 223

11. How would you rate the overall **quality of services** provided by the city?
    a. Excellent 30 7 37
    b. Good 107 27 134
    c. Fair (Please Explain) 11 13 24
    d. Poor (Please Explain) 6 3 9
    e. Don’t know 13 2 15 219

12. How would you rate the **emergency medical services** provided by the city?
    a. Excellent 59 11 70
    b. Good 70 21 91
    c. Fair (Please Explain) 2 2 4
    d. Poor (Please Explain) 1 1 2
    e. Don’t know 40 17 57 224

Totals:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Paper Totals</th>
<th>Online Surveys</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Excellent</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Good</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Fair</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Poor</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Don’t know</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,265</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>