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INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT

Board of Directors
Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority
Fergus Falls, Minnesota

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority, a component unit of Otter Tail County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority’s basic financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements
Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility
Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the
circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

**Opinion**
In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority as of December 31, 2015, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

**Emphasis of Matter - Change in Accounting Principle**
As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2015 Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority adopted new accounting guidance by implementing the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*, as amended by GASB Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date*, and GASB Statement No. 82, *Pension Issues*, which represents a change in accounting principles. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

**Other Matters**
*Required Supplementary Information*
Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Required Supplementary Information as listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not part of the basic financial statements, is required by the GASB, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.
Management has omitted the Management’s Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the GASB, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated July 13, 2016, on our consideration of Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

/s/Rebecca Otto   /s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO  GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
STATE AUDITOR DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

July 13, 2016
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## Statement of Net Position
### December 31, 2015

### Assets

**Current assets**
- Accounts receivable - net $87,096
- Due from other governments 181,164
- Due from primary government 179,989

**Total current assets** $448,249

**Noncurrent assets**
- Capital assets
  - Nondepreciable $29,369,269
  - Depreciable - net 4,369,288

**Total noncurrent assets** $33,738,557

**Total Assets** $34,186,806

### Deferred Outflows of Resources

- Deferred pension outflows $448,459

### Liabilities

**Current liabilities**
- Accounts payable $198,212
- Salaries payable 81,800
- Advance from primary government - current 1,060,000
- Contracts payable 473,701
- Due to other governments 50,490
- Due to primary government 166,824
- Compensated absences payable - current 108,774

**Total current liabilities** $2,139,801

**Noncurrent liabilities**
- Advance from primary government $29,373,620
- Compensated absences payable - long-term 168,823
- Net pension liability 1,290,446

**Total noncurrent liabilities** $30,832,889

**Total Liabilities** $32,972,690

---

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.
### Deferred Inflows of Resources

- Deferred pension inflows $65,060

### Net Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net investment in capital assets</td>
<td>$8,162,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>$(6,565,187)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Net Position</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,597,515</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

**For the Year Ended December 31, 2015**

#### Operating Revenues

- Charges for services: $5,345,243
- Miscellaneous: $218,484

**Total Operating Revenues**: $5,563,727

#### Operating Expenses

- Personal services: $2,233,770
- Professional services: $91,152
- Contracted services: $172,230
- Office: $173,563
- Chemicals and consumables: $592,477
- Utilities: $1,062,205
- Insurance: $417,521
- Repairs and maintenance: $22,481
- Transportation and disposal: $590,742
- Depreciation: $569,330

**Total Operating Expenses**: $5,925,471

**Operating Income (Loss)**: $(361,744)

#### Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)

- Interest expense: $(1,014,481)

**Change in net position**: $(1,376,225)

**Net Position - January 1, as restated (Note 1.G.)**: $2,973,740

**Net Position - December 31**: $1,597,515

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.
Cash Flows from Operating Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from customers and users</td>
<td>$5,636,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to employees</td>
<td>$(2,055,162)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to suppliers</td>
<td>$(3,159,971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$421,628</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advance from primary government</td>
<td>$2,788,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal paid on advance</td>
<td>$(1,550,928)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest paid on long-term debt</td>
<td>$(1,014,481)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of capital assets</td>
<td>$(1,526,226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$(1,303,015)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment earnings received</td>
<td>$3,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents</strong></td>
<td><strong>$(878,132)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash and Cash Equivalents - January 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>$878,132</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash and Cash Equivalents - December 31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>$-</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating income (loss)</td>
<td>$(361,744)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>$569,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable</td>
<td>43,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Increase) decrease in due from other governments</td>
<td>3,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Increase) decrease in due from primary government</td>
<td>32,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Increase) decrease in deferred pension outflows</td>
<td>(448,459)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in accounts payable</td>
<td>(11,059)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in salaries payable</td>
<td>4,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in compensated absences payable</td>
<td>28,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in due to other governments</td>
<td>(25,637)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in due to primary government</td>
<td>(904)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in deferred pension inflows</td>
<td>65,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in net pension liability (inclusive of prior period adjustment)</td>
<td>528,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total adjustments</strong></td>
<td><strong>$783,372</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$421,628</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.
1. **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority’s (Prairie Lakes) financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements (statements and interpretations). The more significant accounting policies established in GAAP and used by Prairie Lakes are discussed below.

**A. Financial Reporting Entity**

Prairie Lakes is a joint enterprise operation of Becker, Clay, Otter Tail, Todd, and Wadena Counties (the Counties). Prairie Lakes was originally established by a Joint Powers Agreement dated May 4, 2010, pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 471.59, Joint Powers Act, and included the counties of Becker, Otter Tail, Todd, and Wadena. The original Joint Powers Agreement was later amended effective October 21, 2014, to include Clay County as a member.

Each of the Counties is authorized and obligated, pursuant to Minn. Stat. chs. 115A and 400, to provide for the management and disposal of solid waste in its respective county. It is the intention of the Counties to cooperate in a joint venture to operate and manage a waste management system within the Counties. This purpose, without limitation, shall include ownership and operation of the Perham Resource Recovery Facility. The facility is located in Perham, Minnesota, and the administrative office is located in Fergus Falls, Minnesota.

Prairie Lakes is governed by a six-member Board of Directors--one member appointed from Becker, Clay, Todd, and Wadena Counties and two from Otter Tail County. Receipts and disbursements are recorded in the Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority Fund by Otter Tail County. Contribution percentages for the Counties were set up in the Joint Powers Agreement as follows: Becker - 22 percent, Clay - 15 percent, Otter Tail - 39 percent, Todd - 14 percent, and Wadena - 10 percent.

Prairie Lakes is a component unit of Otter Tail County because Otter Tail County is financially accountable for Prairie Lakes. Prairie Lakes’ financial statements are discretely presented in the Otter Tail County financial statements.
1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

B. Basic Financial Statements

The accounts of Prairie Lakes are organized as an enterprise fund. Operating revenues, such as charges for services, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activities of Prairie Lakes. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Nonoperating revenues, such as interest income, result from nonexchange transactions or incidental activities. Prairie Lakes’ net position is reported in three parts: (1) net investment in capital assets, (2) restricted net position, and (3) unrestricted net position.

C. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

Prairie Lakes’ financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is Prairie Lakes’ policy to use restricted resources first and then unrestricted resources as needed.

D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity

1. Cash and Cash Equivalents

For the purposes of the statement of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and pooled investments. Prairie Lakes’ cash is pooled and invested with Otter Tail County and is treated as a cash equivalent because Prairie Lakes can deposit or effectively withdraw cash at any time without prior notice or penalty. Interest is credited to the Prairie Lakes Fund. Otter Tail County obtains collateral to cover the deposits in excess of insurance coverage.

2. Receivables

All receivables are shown net of an allowance for uncollectibles.
1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity
   (Continued)

3. Capital Assets

   Capital assets, which include property, plant, and equipment, are reported in the financial statements. Prairie Lakes does not have a formal policy in place to define capital assets. Instead, it currently uses the capital asset listing developed by the City of Perham at the time of the sale of the facility from the City of Perham to the Counties. Assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair value at the date of donation.

   The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets’ lives are not capitalized.

   Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed. During the current period, Prairie Lakes did not have any capitalized interest.

   Property, plant, and equipment of Prairie Lakes are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>20 - 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery, furniture, equipment, and vehicles</td>
<td>3 - 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Compensated Absences

   The liability for compensated absences reported in the financial statements consists of unpaid, accumulated annual vacation and sick leave balances. The liability has been calculated using the vesting method, in which leave amounts for both employees who currently are eligible to receive termination payments and other employees who are expected to become eligible in the future to receive such payments upon termination are included. Compensated absences are accrued when incurred.
1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

   D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity
      (Continued)

5. Long-Term Obligations

   Long-term liabilities consist of advances from the primary government.

6. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

   In addition to assets, the statement of net position reports a separate section for
   deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred
   outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a
   future period(s) and will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense)
   until then. Currently, Prairie Lakes has one item, deferred pension outflows, that
   qualifies for reporting in this category. These outflows consist of pension plan
   contributions paid subsequent to the measurement date and also the differences
   between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments.

   In addition to liabilities, the statement of net position reports a separate section for
   deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred
   inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a
   future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue)
   until that time. Currently, Prairie Lakes has one item, deferred pension inflows,
   that qualifies for reporting in this category. These inflows consist of differences
   between expected and actual pension plan economic experience and also pension
   plan changes in proportionate share.

7. Pension Plan

   For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows/inflows of
   resources, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the
   Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) and additions to/deductions
   from PERA’s fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as
   they are reported by PERA, except that PERA’s fiscal year-end is June 30. For
   this purpose, plan contributions are recognized as of employer payroll paid dates
   and benefit payments, and refunds are recognized when due and payable in
   accordance with the benefit terms. Plan investments are reported at fair value.
1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

D. Assets, Liabilities, Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity
(Continued)

8. Equity Classifications

Equity is classified as net position. Net investment in capital assets represents capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by debt attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of the assets. Unrestricted net position is net position that does not meet the definition of “restricted” or “net investment in capital assets.”

E. Operating Revenues and Expenses

Prairie Lakes’ statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position distinguishes between operating and nonoperating revenues and expenses. Operating revenues result from exchange transactions associated with providing services. Nonexchange revenues, including member county appropriations, investment income, grants, and contributions received for purposes other than for capital asset acquisition, are reported as nonoperating revenues. Operating expenses are all expenses incurred to provide services. Expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating expenses.

F. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

G. Change in Accounting Principles

During the year ended December 31, 2015, Prairie Lakes adopted new accounting guidance by implementing the provisions of GASB Statements 68, 71, and 82. GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions - an amendment of GASB No. 27*, requires governments providing defined benefit pensions to employees through pension plans administered through trusts to record their proportionate share of the net pension obligation as a liability on their financial statements along with related deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and pension expense. This statement also requires additional note disclosures and schedules in the required supplementary information.

GASB Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date - an amendment of GASB Statement No. 68*, addresses an issue regarding amounts associated with contributions made to a pension plan after the measurement date of the net pension liability.

GASB Statement No. 82, *Pension Issues - an amendment of GASB Statement No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73*, addresses issues regarding (1) the presentation of payroll-related measures in required supplementary information, (2) the selection of assumptions and the treatment of deviations from the guidance in an Actuarial Standard of Practice for financial reporting purposes, and (3) the classification of payments made by employers to satisfy employee (plan member) contribution requirements.

GASB Statements 68 and 71 require Prairie Lakes to report its proportionate share of the PERA total employers’ unfunded pension liability. As a result, beginning net position has been restated to record the net pension liability and related deferred outflows of resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Position, January 1, 2015, as previously reported</td>
<td>$3,735,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in accounting principles</td>
<td>(761,824)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Position, January 1, 2015, as restated</td>
<td>$2,973,740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Detailed Notes

A. Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources

1. Deposits and Investments

Prairie Lakes did not report any cash or cash equivalents at December 31, 2015.

Prairie Lakes is authorized by Minn. Stat. §§ 118A.02 and 118A.04 to designate a depository for public funds and to invest in certificates of deposit. Prairie Lakes is required by Minn. Stat. § 118A.03 to protect deposits with insurance, surety bond, or collateral. The market value of collateral pledged shall be at least ten percent more than the amount on deposit at the close of the financial institution’s banking day, not covered by insurance or bonds.

Authorized collateral includes treasury bills, notes and bonds; issues of U.S. government agencies; general obligations rated “A” or better or revenue obligations rated “AA” or better; irrevocable standby letters of credit issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank; and certificates of deposit. Minnesota statutes require that securities pledged as collateral be held in safekeeping in a restricted account at the Federal Reserve Bank or in an account at a trust department of a commercial bank or other financial institution not owned or controlled by the financial institution furnishing the collateral.

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a financial institution failure, Prairie Lakes’ deposits may not be returned to it. Prairie Lakes does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. Prairie Lakes pools its deposits with Otter Tail County. Otter Tail County, in a fiscal agent capacity, carries collateral to cover deposits in excess of FDIC coverage. As of December 31, 2015, Otter Tail County’s deposits were not exposed to custodial credit risk.
2. Detailed Notes

A. Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources (Continued)

2. Receivables

Receivables as of December 31, 2015, including the applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts</th>
<th>Total Receivables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts</td>
<td>$ 87,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from other governments</td>
<td>181,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from primary government</td>
<td>179,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 448,249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2015, was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital assets not depreciated</th>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$ 25,489</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 25,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in progress</td>
<td>27,904,269</td>
<td>1,439,511</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29,343,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital assets not depreciated</td>
<td>$ 27,929,758</td>
<td>$ 1,439,511</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 29,369,269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital assets depreciated</th>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>$ 5,563,415</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 5,563,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery, furniture, and equipment</td>
<td>1,548,682</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,548,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital assets depreciated</td>
<td>$ 7,112,097</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 7,112,097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less: accumulated depreciation for
| Buildings                  | $ 1,657,513       | $ 462,562 | $ - | $ 2,120,075    |
| Machinery, furniture, and equipment | 515,966 | 106,768 | - | 622,734 |
| Total accumulated depreciation | $ 2,173,479 | $ 569,330 | $ - | $ 2,742,809 |

| Total capital assets depreciated, net | $ 4,938,618 | $ (569,330) | $ - | $ 4,369,288 |
| Capital Assets, Net | $ 32,868,376 | $ 870,181 | $ - | $ 33,738,557 |

Depreciation expense for the year was $569,330.
2. Detailed Notes

A. Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources (Continued)

4. Deferred Outflows of Resources

Deferred outflows of resources consist of pension plan contributions paid subsequent to the measurement date and also the differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments and, accordingly, are reported only in the statement of net position. Deferred pension outflows for the year ended December 31, 2015, were $448,459.

B. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources

1. Payables

Payables at December 31, 2015, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts</td>
<td>$ 198,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>$ 81,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>$ 473,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to other governments</td>
<td>$ 50,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to primary government</td>
<td>$ 166,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Payables</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 971,027</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Construction and Other Significant Commitments

Prairie Lakes has active construction project commitments as of December 31, 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commitment</th>
<th>Spent-to-Date</th>
<th>Remaining Commitment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WTE expansion</td>
<td>$ 13,609,222</td>
<td>$ 45,970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Detailed Notes

B. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources (Continued)

3. Advance From Primary Government

Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority has entered into an advance arrangement with Otter Tail County. The County issued $10,475,000 in General Obligation Disposal System Revenue Bonds and $19,380,000 in General Obligation Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds in order to finance the acquisition/expansion of the facility. The proceeds from the sale of these bonds were recognized by Otter Tail County. Prairie Lakes’ advance payment back for these bond issues to the County is essentially equal to the principal and interest on the bonds for the year. On December 31, 2015, Otter Tail County advanced funds to Prairie Lakes to zero out a negative pooled cash balance. The advance balance consists of the following at December 31, 2015:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Indebtedness</th>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>Installment Amounts</th>
<th>Interest Rate (%)</th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advance from primary government - 2011</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$405,000 - $755,000</td>
<td>3.0 to 5.0</td>
<td>$10,475,000</td>
<td>$8,895,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance from primary government - 2013</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>$630,000 - $1,970,000</td>
<td>2.0 to 3.8</td>
<td>19,380,000</td>
<td>18,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance from primary government - 2015</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2,788,620</td>
<td>2,788,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Advance from Primary Government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32,643,620</td>
<td>30,433,620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The outstanding balance for the advance from primary government - 2015 represents funds paid by Otter Tail County to cover a negative pooled cash balance at December 31, 2015. Repayment of this advance will be through a combination of $1 per ton of waste received at the Prairie Lakes facility as well as 50 percent of any revenues above expenses at the end of each year, exclusive of the first $200,000 set aside as major capital expenses. Because of the variable repayment amounts, a final debt payment schedule is not available. The following payment schedule does not include the debt service applicable to this advance.
2. Detailed Notes

B. Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources

3. Advance From Primary Government (Continued)

The future minimum obligations as of December 31, 2015, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ending December 31</th>
<th>Future Minimum Obligations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$ 2,047,461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2,046,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2,049,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,044,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2,048,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 - 2025</td>
<td>10,235,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026 - 2030</td>
<td>10,232,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031 - 2034</td>
<td>8,186,470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total future minimum advance payments $ 38,891,354

Less: amount representing interest (11,246,354)

Advance Balance $ 27,645,000

4. Changes in Long-Term Liabilities

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2015, was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Reductions</th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
<th>Due Within One Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advance from primary government</td>
<td>$ 28,680,000</td>
<td>$ 2,788,620</td>
<td>$ 1,035,000</td>
<td>$ 30,433,620</td>
<td>$ 1,060,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated absences</td>
<td>249,036</td>
<td>150,911</td>
<td>122,350</td>
<td>277,597</td>
<td>108,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Liabilities</td>
<td>$ 28,929,036</td>
<td>$ 2,939,531</td>
<td>$ 1,157,350</td>
<td>$ 30,711,217</td>
<td>$ 1,168,774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Pension Plans - Defined Benefit Pension Plans

A. Plan Description

All full-time and certain part-time employees of Prairie Lakes are covered by defined benefit pension plans administered by the Public Employees Retirement Association of Minnesota (PERA). PERA administers the General Employees Retirement Fund, which is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer retirement plan. The plan is established and administered in accordance with Minn. Stat. chs. 353 and 356. PERA’s defined benefit pension plans are tax qualified plans under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

General Employees Retirement Fund members belong to either the Coordinated Plan or the Basic Plan. Coordinated Plan members are covered by Social Security and Basic Plan members are not. The Basic Plan was closed to new members in 1967. All new members must participate in the Coordinated Plan, for which benefits vest after five years of credited service.

B. Benefits Provided

PERA provides retirement benefits as well as disability benefits to members and benefits to survivors upon death of eligible members. Benefit provisions are established by state statute and can be modified only by the state legislature. Benefit increases are provided to benefit recipients each January. Increases are related to the funding ratio of the plan. Benefit recipients receive a future annual 1.0 percent post-retirement benefit increase. If the funding ratio reaches 90 percent for two consecutive years, the benefit increase will revert to 2.5 percent. If, after reverting to a 2.5 percent benefit increase, the funding ratio declines to less than 80 percent for one year or less than 85 percent for two consecutive years, the benefit increase will decrease to 1.0 percent.

The benefit provisions stated in the following paragraph of this section are current provisions and apply to active plan participants. Vested, terminated employees who are entitled to benefits but are not yet receiving them are bound by the provisions in effect at the time they last terminated their public service.
3. Pension Plans - Defined Benefit Pension Plans

B. Benefits Provided (Continued)

Benefits are based on a member’s highest average salary for any five successive years of allowable service, age, and years of credit at termination of service. Two methods are used to compute benefits for General Employees Retirement Fund Coordinated and Basic Plan members. The retiring member receives the higher of a step-rate benefit accrual formula (Method 1) or a level accrual formula (Method 2). Under Method 1, the annuity accrual rate for a Basic Plan member is 2.2 percent of average salary for each of the first ten years of service and 2.7 percent for each remaining year. The annuity accrual rate for a Coordinated Plan member is 1.2 percent of average salary for each of the first ten years of service and 1.7 percent for each remaining year. Under Method 2, the annuity accrual rate is 2.7 percent of average salary for Basic Plan members and 1.7 percent for Coordinated Plan members for each year of service.

For General Employees Retirement Fund members hired prior to July 1, 1989, a full annuity is available when age plus years of service equal 90, and normal retirement age is 65. For members hired on or after July 1, 1989, normal retirement age is the age for unreduced Social Security benefits capped at 66. Disability benefits are available for vested members and are based on years of service and average high-five salary.

C. Contributions

Pension benefits are funded from member and employer contributions and income from the investment of fund assets. Rates for employer and employee contributions are set by Minn. Stat. ch. 353. These statutes are established and amended by the state legislature. Basic Plan members and Coordinated Plan members were required to contribute 9.10 percent and 6.50 percent, respectively, of their annual covered salary in 2015.

In 2015, Prairie Lakes was required to contribute the following percentages of annual covered salary:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Employees Retirement Fund</th>
<th>11.78%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic Plan members</td>
<td>11.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated Plan members</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Coordinated Plan member and employer contribution rates each reflect a 0.25 percent increase from 2014.
3. Pension Plans - Defined Benefit Pension Plans

C. Contributions (Continued)

Prairie Lakes’ contributions for the General Employees Retirement Fund for the year ended December 31, 2015, were $115,685. The contributions are equal to the contractually required contributions as set by state statute.

D. Pension Costs

At December 31, 2015, Prairie Lakes reported a liability of $1,290,446 for its proportionate share of the General Employees Retirement Fund’s net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2015, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. Prairie Lakes’ proportion of the net pension liability was based on its contributions received by PERA during the measurement period for employer payroll paid dates from July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2015, relative to the total employer contributions received from all of PERA’s participating employers. At June 30, 2015, Prairie Lakes’ proportion was 0.0249 percent. It was 0.0173 percent measured as of June 30, 2014. Prairie Lakes’ recognized pension expense of $260,907 for its proportionate share of the General Employees Retirement Fund’s pension expense.

Prairie Lakes reported its proportionate share of the General Employees Retirement Fund’s deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deferred Outflows of Resources</th>
<th>Deferred Inflows of Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Differences between expected and actual economic experience</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference between projected and actual investment earnings</td>
<td>389,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions paid to PERA subsequent to the measurement date</td>
<td>58,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 448,459</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Pension Plans - Defined Benefit Pension Plans

D. Pension Costs (Continued)

A total of $58,541 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended December 31, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31</th>
<th>Pension Expense Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$98,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>98,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>98,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>30,540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability in the June 30, 2015, actuarial valuation was determined using the individual entry age normal actuarial cost method and the following additional actuarial assumptions:

- Inflation: 2.75 percent per year
- Active member payroll growth: 3.50 percent per year
- Investment rate of return: 7.90 percent

Salary increases were based on a service-related table. Mortality rates for active members, retirees, survivors, and disabilitants were based on RP-2000 tables for males or females, as appropriate, with slight adjustments. The cost of living benefit increases for retirees was assumed to be 1.0 percent effective every January 1 through 2035, and 2.5 percent thereafter.

Actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2015, valuation were based on the results of actuarial experience studies. The experience study in the General Employees Retirement Fund was for the period July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2008, with an update of economic assumptions in 2014.
3. Pension Plans - Defined Benefit Pension Plans

E. Actuarial Assumptions (Continued)

In 2015, an updated experience study was done for PERA’s General Employees Retirement Fund for the six-year period ending June 30, 2014, which would result in a larger pension liability. However, PERA will not implement the changes in assumptions until its June 30, 2016, estimate of pension liability.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.9 percent. The State Board of Investment, which manages the investments of PERA, prepares an analysis of the reasonableness of the long-term expected rate of return on a regular basis using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future rates of return are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce an expected long-term rate of return by weighting the expected future rates of return by the target asset allocation percentages. The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Target Allocation</th>
<th>Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic stocks</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International stocks</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative assets</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F. Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.9 percent. The discount rate did not change since the prior measurement date. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee and employer contributions will be made at the rate specified in statute. Based on that assumption, each of the pension plan’s fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.
3. Pension Plans - Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

G. Pension Liability Sensitivity

The following presents Prairie Lakes’ proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate disclosed in the preceding paragraph, as well as what Prairie Lakes’ proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate 1.0 percentage point lower or 1.0 percentage point higher than the current discount rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1% Decrease in Discount Rate (6.9%)</th>
<th>Discount Rate (7.9%)</th>
<th>1% Increase in Discount Rate (8.9%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportionate share of the General Employees Retirement Fund net pension liability</td>
<td>$2,029,042</td>
<td>$1,290,446</td>
<td>$680,482</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H. Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan’s fiduciary net position is available in a separately issued PERA financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained on the internet at www.mnpera.org; by writing to PERA at 60 Empire Drive, Suite 200, St. Paul, Minnesota 55103-2088; or by calling (651) 296-7460 or 1-800-652-9026.

4. Risk Management

Prairie Lakes purchases commercial insurance coverage through Western National Mutual Insurance Company. Prairie Lakes pays an annual premium for its insurance coverage. Prairie Lakes retains the risk for the deductible portion of the policies, which is considered immaterial to the financial statements. At December 31, 2015, there are no other claims liabilities reported in the financial statements based on the requirements of GASB Statement 10, which requires that a liability for claims be reported if information prior to the issuance of the financial statements and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.
5. **Other Items**

A. **Operating Budgets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Revenues</td>
<td>$ 7,406,001</td>
<td>$ 5,563,727</td>
<td>$ (1,842,274)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses</td>
<td>4,962,235</td>
<td>5,925,471</td>
<td>(963,236)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income (Loss)</td>
<td>$ 2,443,766</td>
<td>$ (361,744)</td>
<td>$ (2,805,510)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses) and Contributions</td>
<td>(2,400,347)</td>
<td>(1,014,481)</td>
<td>1,385,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Net Position</td>
<td>$ 43,419</td>
<td>$ (1,376,225)</td>
<td>$ (1,419,644)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. **Subsequent Event**

At the March 3, 2016, meeting of the Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority Board, the Board passed a resolution requesting that the member counties contribute funds based on their participating percentages to cover the bond principal and interest due in May 2016. This was necessary due to a drop in recycling revenues and operational difficulties along with overruns on the expansion project. The Board felt that the facility has not generated sufficient revenues nor built a large enough net position to cover the payment on its own.
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
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### Schedule of Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability

**Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority**  
FERGUS FALLS, MINNESOTA

**EXHIBIT A-1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement Date</th>
<th>Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)</th>
<th>Covered Payroll (b)</th>
<th>Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (a/b)</th>
<th>Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 30, 2015</td>
<td>0.0249%</td>
<td>$ 1,290,446</td>
<td>$ 1,463,189</td>
<td>88.19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available. The measurement date for each year is June 30.

### Schedule of Contributions

**Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority**  
FERGUS FALLS, MINNESOTA

**EXHIBIT A-2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ending</th>
<th>Statutorily Required Contributions (a)</th>
<th>Contribution in Relation to Statutorily Required Contributions (b)</th>
<th>Contribution (Deficiency) (b-a)</th>
<th>Covered Payroll (c)</th>
<th>Actual Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll (b/c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2015</td>
<td>$ 115,685</td>
<td>$ 115,685</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,542,467</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This schedule is intended to show information for ten years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available. Prairie Lakes' year-end is December 31.
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Management and Compliance Section
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PRAIRIE LAKES MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY
FERGUS FALLS, MINNESOTA

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

I. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN
   ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INTERNAL CONTROL

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEMS NOT RESOLVED

Finding 2012-001

Audit Adjustments

Criteria: A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design
or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course
of performing their assigned functions to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements of
the financial statements on a timely basis. Auditing standards define a material weakness
as a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a
reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of Prairie Lakes’ financial statements
will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Condition: During our audit, we identified a material adjustment that resulted in
significant changes to Prairie Lakes’ financial statements. Otter Tail County provides a
general ledger which includes certain accruals and other supporting information. This
general ledger facilitates the preparation of the financial statements.

Context: The inability to make all necessary accrual adjustments or to detect significant
misstatements in the financial statements increases the likelihood that the financial
statements would not be fairly presented.

Effect: An audit adjustment was necessary to reclassify $465,670 from unrestricted net
position to net investment in capital assets to reflect the net change in investment in
capital assets.

Cause: Otter Tail County has assumed the duties for the management function and
general ledger recording. Some transactions are infrequent and, thus, may have been
overlooked in the preparation of the sources of information used to prepare the financial
statements.
**Recommendation:** We recommend Prairie Lakes establish internal controls necessary to ensure its annual financial statements are reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

**Client’s Response:**

*In 2013, Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority began an expansion process which included issuing bonded debt and increasing its member partners. Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority staff and Otter Tail County staff (fiscal agent) will continue to work on efforts to ensure that postings are properly identified initially so that journal entries for changes are not necessary.*

Finding 2012-002

**Documenting and Monitoring Internal Controls**

**Criteria:** Prairie Lakes’ management is responsible for the internal controls over financial reporting. This responsibility requires performing an assessment of existing controls over significant functions used to produce financial information for the Board, management, and for external financial reporting. The risk assessment is intended to determine if the internal controls that have been established by management are still effective or if changes are needed to maintain a sound internal control structure. Changes may be necessary due to such things as organizational restructuring, updates to information systems, or changes to services being provided.

**Condition:** Otter Tail County maintains narratives to document the controls in place over its significant transaction cycles for services it provides to Prairie Lakes. However, Prairie Lakes does not have a formal risk assessment process in place to determine if the internal controls that have been established by the Perham Resource Recovery Facility or by Otter Tail County are effective or if changes are needed to maintain a sound internal control structure.

**Context:** The internal control environment is constantly evolving with changes in staffing, information systems, processes, and the services provided. Changes may have taken place that reduce or negate the effectiveness of internal controls which may go unnoticed without a formal and timely risk assessment process in place.

**Effect:** Local governments tend to establish controls but fail to periodically review those controls to ensure they are appropriate for all of the changes that take place over time.

**Causes:** Lack of resources dedicated to establishing a formal process for assessing risks, documenting the internal controls established to reduce those risks, and monitoring of those controls.
**Recommendation:** Prairie Lakes’ management should document the significant internal controls in its accounting system, including an assessment of risk and the processes used to minimize the risks. A formal plan should be developed that calls for monitoring the internal control structure on a regular basis, no less than annually. The monitoring activity should also be documented to show the results of the review, changes required, and who performed the work.

**Client’s Response:**

*Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority’s Board, executive staff, and Otter Tail County staff (fiscal agent) are aware of the need and value of routinely reviewing and continually addressing internal controls. Staff will continue to address any weaknesses in regard to policies and procedures resulting from changes in programs and staffing as well as changes in reporting requirements.*

II. **OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE**

**PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM RESOLVED**

**Certification of Withholding (2014-001)**

During our tests of contract compliance in the previous audit, we noted the final payment was made on one completed contract without receiving the IC-134 Affidavit of Withholding form or an on-line confirmation of certification prior to payment as required by Minn. Stat. § 270C.66.

**Resolution**

A certification of withholding was obtained prior to making final payment to the contractor as required by Minn. Stat. § 270C.66 for all completed contracts tested during the 2015 audit.
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REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor’s Report

Board of Directors
Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority
Fergus Falls, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Prairie Lakes Municipal Solid Waste Authority (Prairie Lakes) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Prairie Lakes’ basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated July 13, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Prairie Lakes’ internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Prairie Lakes’ internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Prairie Lakes’ internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, we identified a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness and an item that we consider to be a significant deficiency.
A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of Prairie Lakes’ financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as item 2012-001 to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as item 2012-002 to be a significant deficiency.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Prairie Lakes’ financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

**Minnesota Legal Compliance**

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested in connection with the audit of Prairie Lakes’ financial statements: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our audit considered all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance with the provisions for tax increment financing because Prairie Lakes has no tax increment financing, and public indebtedness because Prairie Lakes has no outstanding debt. The provisions for deposits and investments and claims and disbursements were tested in conjunction with the audit of the financial statements of Otter Tail County.
In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that Prairie Lakes failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding Prairie Lakes’ noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

**Prairie Lakes’ Response to Findings**

Prairie Lakes’ responses to the internal control findings identified in our audit have been included in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. Prairie Lakes’ responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

**Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Prairie Lakes’ internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Prairie Lakes’ internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto         /s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO           GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
STATE AUDITOR           DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

July 13, 2016