CITY OF INVER GROVE HEIGHTS DAKOTA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION NO. 19–119

RESOLUTION SUPPORTING CONTINUATION OF PARTICIPATION IN THE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE OF MINNESOTA AND THE COUNCIL ON LOCAL RESULTS AND INNOVATION

WHEREAS, In 2010, the State Legislature created the Council on Local Results and Innovation to launch a voluntary performance measurement and reporting program; and

WHEREAS, the Council on Local Results and Innovation released a standard set of performance measures for counties and cities to aid residents, taxpayers and state and local elected officials in determining the efficacy of counties and cities in providing services and to measure residents' opinions of those services; and

WHEREAS, the benefits to the City of Inver Grove Heights for participation in this program are outlined in Minn. Stat. § 6.91; and

WHEREAS, the benefits to the City of Inver Grove Heights for participation in this program includes eligibility for a reimbursement of \$0.14 (fourteen cents) per capita annually; and

WHEREAS, any city/county participating in the comprehensive performance measure program is also exempt from state levy limits under Minn. Stat. §275.70 to 275.74 for taxes payable, if levy limits are in effect; and

WHEREAS, further benefits to the residents of the City of Inver Grove Heights include greater accountability and transparency in consistently reported measurements.

WHEREAS, The City Council of Inver Grove Heights has adopted and implemented at least ten(10) of the performance measures, as developed by the council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and process for optimal future outcomes; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the City of Inver Grove Heights adopts a resolution supporting continuing participation in the performance measurement program established by the State of Minnesota and the Council on Local Results and Innovation.

Adopted by the City Council of Inver Grove Heights this 8th day of July, 2019.

ATTEST:

Joe Lynch, Interim Deputy City Clerk

George^GTourville, Mayor

City of Inver Grove Heights Performance Measurement

Department Performance Performance General Excel Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair:<	Performance Category	2017 2018		
General Contraction <	r chomanice category			
Citizens' rating of the overall quality of services provided by the city. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Citizens' rating of the overall quality of services provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Finance Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Intell N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Intell Save Vear completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Finance Citizens' rating of customer service through contact with staff. (Survey data - provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses.) Citizens' rating of customer service through contact with staff. (Survey data - provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens' rating of customer service through contact with staff. (Survey data - provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens' rating of customer service through contact with staff. (Survey data - provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens' rating of customer service through contact with staff. (Survey data - provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Police Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Intell customer service through contact with staff. (Survey data - provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Police Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Intell customer service through contact with service throug	Concernel	Performance Performance	Performance	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Excel Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel Good: Fair: P	oor: N/A	
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Finance 7% 41% 24% 10% 18% 7% 31% 20% 5% Percent change in the taxable property market value Gitzens Excel- Good: Fair: Porr. N/A: Excel- <				
Percent change in the taxable property market value Finance 2.2% 8.9% Citizens rating of the overall appearance of the city. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Citizens Excel. Godi Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel. Godi:			5% 36%	
Citizens' rating of the overall appearance of the city. (Survey data - provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 + 434 responses. Citizens' Lent: Excel- 600d: 83 3% Good: 83 3% Fair: 8000; 83 3% Poor: 8000; 83 3% N/a: 8000; 83 3% Excel- 8000; 83 3% Good: 83 3% Fair: 8000; 800			5/0 50/0	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. 6% 35% 29% 16% 14% 6% 29% 24% 14% Citizen's rating of customer service through contact with staff. (Survey data- provide year completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens Exet. Good: Fair. Poor. N/A Excel. Good: Fair. Poor.			001. N/A	
Citizen's rating of customer service through contact with staff. (Survey data provide year completed and total responses.) Citizen's rating of customer services Nu's: Excel. Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel. Good:			14% 28%	
provide year completed and total responses.) lent: or lent: or lent: or lent: or Survey completed and total responses.) Police 8.92 25% 21% 22% 6% 3% Bond rating Finance AA+ AA+ AA+ AA+ Citizens' rating of city recreational programs and facilities . (survey data-provide year completed and total responses.) Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens' atting of the galaxy atting the provide year completed and total responses.) Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Police Part I: 7 Port N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Port Port <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Police 27% 34% 12% 2% 21% 22% 6% 3% Nuisance code enforcement cases per 1,000 population. Police 8.92 5.65 5.65 Bond rating Finance AA+ 5.66 6.001 Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: 6.001 Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: Fair: Poor: N/A:<				
Nuisance code enforcement cases per 1,000 population. Police 8.92 8.66 Bond rating Finance AA+ AA+ Citizens' rating of city recreational programs and facilities. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Citizens' rating of city recreational programs and facilities. Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens' rating of safety services Police Part I: 756 Part I: 652 Part I Crime Rates Police Part I: 756 Part I: 1.069 Part I: 1.069 Part I Crime Rates Police Part I: 24% Part I: 22% Part I Crime Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part I: 24% Part I: 23% Part II Crime Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part I: 24% Part I: 23% Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Intert I: 43% Part I: 23% Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Fire 31% 31% 5% 2% 2% 21% 23% 5% 2% 23% 5% 2% Itizens' rating of the genulation (ricludes medical calls) Fire 6:00 minutes 6:28 minutes Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Fire 38% 23% 2% 0% 37% 24% 14% 1% 0% 6:28 minutes Survey c	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3% 47%	
Bond rating Finance AA+ AA+ Citizers' rating of city recreational programs and facilities . (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Excel- Good: Fair. Por: N/A: Part I: 23% 24% 12% Part I: 24% Part I: 24% Part II: 24% 24% 23% 2% 2% 23% 2% 2% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
Intervide year completed and total responses.) Ient: Ient	6		oor: N/A	
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. 25% 42% 12% 5% 16% 21% 38% 8% 3% Part I Crime Rates Police Part I: 756 Part I: 55 Part I: 652 Part I Crime Rates Police Part I: 1.069 Part I: 24% Part I: 22% Part II Crime Rates Police Part I: 24% Part I: 22% Part I: 22% Part II Crime Rates Police Part I: 24% Part I: 24% Part I: 22% Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 44% Part I: 42% Part I: 42% Vear completed and total responses.) Citizens Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: Good: Fair: P				
Police Services Police Part I: 756 Part I: 652 Part II Crime Rates Police Part II: 1,069 Part II: 1,069 Part II: 1,069 Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 1,069 Part II: 1,069 Part II: 1,069 Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 44% Part II: 44% Part II: 48% Citizens' rating of sofety services in their community. (Survey data - provide year completed in total responses.) Streets Street Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Goo			3% 30%	
Part I Crime Rates Police Part I: 756 Part I: 652 Part II Crime Rates Police Part II: 1,069 Part II: 1,069 Part II: 1,069 Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 24% Part II: 22% Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 44% Part II: 44% Part II: 44% Citizens' rating of safety services in their community. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Por: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Por: II: 1,069 Part II: 44% Part II: 45% Part II: 44% Part II: 45% Part II: 44% Part II: 45% Part II: 45% <td></td> <td></td> <td>0,0</td>			0,0	
Part II Crime Rates Police Part II: 1,069 Part II: 1,069 Part I Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 24% Part II: 22% Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 24% Part II: 22% Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 44% Part II: 24% Part II: 22% Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 44% Part II: 48% Part II: 48% Citizens' rating of sofety services in their community. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: II: 44% Fair: Poor: II: 48% Insurance industry rating of fire services Fire Sirvey completed and total responses.) 3/5/10 3/5/10 3/5/10 Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Fire Good: Fair: Poor: In: 3/5/10 In: I		Part I: 756 Part I: 652	Part I: 652	
Part I Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part I: 24% Part I: 22% Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 44% Part II: 48% Citizens' rating of sofety services in their community. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Fair: Poor: Fair: Poor: Fair: Poor				
Part II Crime Clearance Rates (Released by the BCA) Police Part II: 44% Part II: 48% Citizens' rating of safety services in their community. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Citizens Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: I.ent: Ient: Ie			· · ·	
Citizens' rating of safety services in their community. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Excel-lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel-lent: <td></td> <td></td> <td colspan="2"></td>				
year completed and total responses.)lent:Ient:<				
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. 41% 31% 5% 2% 21% 22% 23% 5% 2% Fire Services Insurance industry rating of fire services Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens Fire 3/5/10 Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: Insurance industry ating of the guality of fire protection services. Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. Citizens Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: 1/% 0/% 0/% Average fire response time Fire 6:00 minutes 6:00 minutes 6:28 minutes Streets Average city street pavement condition rating PW - Engineering Citizens Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: Instruct Fair: Poor: Instruct Fair: Poor: Instruct				
Fire Services Service Service<			2% 49%	
Insurance industry rating of fire services Fire 3/5/10 3/5/10 Citizens' rating of the quality of <i>fire protection services</i> . (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Citizens Excellent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excellent: Good: Fair: Poor: Fair: Poor: Fair: Poor: Fair: Poor: Fair: Poor: Fair: Poor:			2/0 43/0	
Citizens' rating of the quality of <i>fire protection services</i> . (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Citizens Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- lent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Fair: Poor: Main Main Main Main Main Main Main Main Main Main Main Fair: Poor: Main Main Main Main Main Main Fair: Poor: Main Main Main Main Main Main Main Main		3/5/10 3/5/10	3/5/10	
provide year completed and total responses.)lent:Ien			oor: N/A	
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses.38%23%2%0%37%24%14%1%0%Average fire response timeFire $6:00 \text{ minutes}$ $6:28 \text{ minutes}$ Fire calls per 1,000 population (includes medical calls)Fire 45.67 45.71 StreetsAverage city street pavement condition ratingPW - Engineering 56 $60d:$ $Fair:$ Poor: $N/A:$ $Excel Good:$ $Fair:$ Poor: $N/A:$ $Excel Good:$ $Fair:$ Poor: V V 14% 15% V Citizens' rating of the street conditions in their city. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) V V $Excel Good:$ $Fair:$ Poor: $N/A:$ $Excel Good:$ $Fair:$ Poor: V V 14% 15% V Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses.PW - Engineering V </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Average fire response time Fire 6:00 minutes 6:28 minutes Fire calls per 1,000 population (includes medical calls) Fire 45.67 45.71 Streets 9W - Engineering 56 61 Citizens' rating of the street conditions in their city. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) PW - Engineering 56 61 Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. PW - Engineering \$604,306 \$1,042,497 Expenditures for street rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated (jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year PW - Engineering 0.5% \$1,042,497 Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year PW - Streets 8.5 8.4 Citizens' rating of the quality of snowplowing on city streets. (Survey data - Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: 1.4%			0% 60%	
Fire 45.67 45.71 Streets 61 Average city street pavement condition rating PW - Engineering 56 61 Citizens' rating of the street conditions in their city. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) 600011 Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Ient: <				
Streets PW - Engineering Streets 61 Average city street pavement condition rating PW - Engineering Streets				
Average city street pavement condition rating PW - Engineering Excellent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excellent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excellent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excellent: Poor:				
Citizens' rating of the street conditions in their city. (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) Excellent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excellent: Ient: Citizens Excellent: Ient: Citizens Excellent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excellent: Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excellent: Ient:		56 61		
year completed and total responses.)lent:IIIent:IIent:II </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>oor: N/A</td>			oor: N/A	
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. 4% 36% 42% 9% 9% 3% 27% 42% 15% Expenditures for street rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated (jurisdiction only streets) (All reconstruction only costs) PW - Engineering \$604,306 \$1,042,497 \$1,042,497 Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year PW - Engineering 0.5% \$1.4% Average hours to complete street system during snow event PW - Streets 8.5 \$4.4% Citizens' rating of the quality of snowplowing on city streets. (Survey data - Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A:<				
Expenditures for street rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated (jurisdiction only streets) (All reconstruction only costs) PW - Engineering \$604,306 \$1,042,497 Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year PW - Engineering 0.5% 1.4% Average hours to complete street system during snow event PW - Streets 8.5 8.4 Citizens' rating of the quality of snowplowing on city streets. (Survey data - Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair:			15% 14%	
(jurisdiction only streets) (All reconstruction only costs)PW - Engineering0.5%I-1.4%Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the yearPW - Engineering0.5%I-1.4%Average hours to complete street system during snow eventPW - Streets8.5I-1.4%Citizens' rating of the quality of snowplowing on city streets. (Survey data -CitizensExcel-Good:Fair:Poor:N/A:Excel-Good:Fair:Poor:				
Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year PW - Engineering 0.5% 1.4% Average hours to complete street system during snow event PW - Streets 8.5 8.4 Citizens' rating of the <i>quality of snowplowing</i> on city streets. (Survey data - Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Poor: <td></td> <td colspan="2">···· /··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·</td>		···· /··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·		
Average hours to complete street system during snow event PW - Streets 8.5 8.4 Citizens' rating of the <i>quality of snowplowing</i> on city streets. (Survey data - Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: Poor: Fair: Poor:		0.5% 1.4%	1.4%	
Citizens' rating of the <i>quality of snowplowing</i> on city streets. (Survey data - Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor:			8.4	
		Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: P	oor: N/A	
	ovide year completed and total responses.)			
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. 23% 50% 14% 3% 10% 29% 45% 11% 2%	rvey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses.	23% 50% 14% 3% 10% 29% 45% 11% 3	2% 14%	
Water	Water			
Citizens' rating of the <i>dependability and quality of the city water supply</i> . Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor:	cizens' rating of the <i>dependability and quality of the city water supply</i> .	Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: P	oor: N/A	
(Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.) lent: lent:		lent: lent:		
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. 21% 33% 15% 6% 25% 21% 27% 15% 5%	rvey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses.	21% 33% 15% 6% 25% 21% 27% 15% !	5% 32%	
Operating cost per 1,000,000 gallons of water pumped/produced PW - Water \$313.52 \$295.43	perating cost per 1,000,000 gallons of water pumped/produced	\$313.52 \$295.43		
Sewer	Sewer			
Citizens' rating of the <i>dependability and quality of city sanitary sewer</i> Citizens Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: Poor:	cizens' rating of the dependability and quality of city sanitary sewer	Excel- Good: Fair: Poor: N/A: Excel- Good: Fair: P	oor: N/A	
service . (Survey data - provide year completed and total responses.)		lent: lent:		
Survey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses. 30% 31% 4% 1% 34% 29% 27% 2% 0%	rvey completed in 2019 for 2018 - 434 responses.	30% 31% 4% 1% 34% 29% 27% 2%	0% 41%	
Number of sewer blockages on city system per 100 connections PW - Sewer 0.0	umber of sewer blockages on city system per 100 connections	0.0 0.0		