
RESOLUTION NO. 19-2014


Councilmembe adoption:	er Peterson introduced the following resolution and moved for its
WHEREAS,	Benefits to the City of Sartell for participation in the Minnesota Council on Local Results and Innovation's comprehensive performance measurement program are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and
WHEREAS,	Any city/county participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and
WHEREAS,	The City Council of Sartell has adopted and implemented at least 10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and
NOW THER	EFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT, The City Council of Sartell will continue to report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's/county's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.
BE IT FURT: State Auditor	HER RESOLVED, The City Council of Sartell will submit to the Office of the the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the city/county.
upon a vote be Mayor Persk	resolution was duly seconded by Councilmember Hennes , sing taken thereon, the following members voted in favor thereof: te, Council: Braig-Lindstrom, Hennes, Nicoll, Peterson , the sheers voted against:none; the following members abstained:; the following members were absent:none
WHEF May, 2014.	REUPON, said resolution was declared duly passed and adopted this 12 th day of
Mary I.	NISTRATOR MAYOR "

SEAL

SUMMARY	
	How would you rate the overall appearance of the city?
# saying Excellent	62
# saying Good	117
# saying Fair	15
# saying Poor	0
# saying Don't know	0
Total Responses	194
% saying Excellent	32%
% saying Good	60%
% saying Fair	8%
% saying Poor	0%
% saying Don't know	0%



Comments:	How would you describe your overall feeling of safety in the city?
# saying Very Safe	138
# saying Somewhat Safe	55
# saying Somewhat unsafe	1
# saying Very Unsafe	0
# saying Don't know	0
Total Responses	194
% saying Very Safe	71%
% saying Somewhat Safe	28%
% saying Somewhat unsafe	1%
% saying Very Unsafe	0%
% saying Don't know	0%
1	i




0	How would you rate the overall quality of fire
Comments:	protection services in the city?
# saying Excellent	1
# saying Good	
# saying Paer	
# saying Poor	
# saying Don't know	1
Total Responses	<u> </u>
% saying Excellent	5
% saying Good	20
% saying Good % saying Fair	
% saying Poor	
% saying Don't know	14
Overall quality of fi	re protection
	■ % saying Excellent
	■ % saying Good
	■ % saying Fair
	■ % saying Poor
	■ % saying Don't know

15 89 69 19 0 192	How would you rate the overall condition of city streets?	
19	15	
19 0 192		
Overall condition of city streets Overall condition of city streets % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor		
8% 46% 36% 10% 0% Overall condition of city streets		
46% 36% 10% 0% Overall condition of city streets		
46% 36% 10% 0% Overall condition of city streets		
46% 36% 10% 0% Overall condition of city streets		
Overall condition of city streets		
Overall condition of city streets		
Overall condition of city streets		
Overall condition of city streets		
	Overall condition	 % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor


s:	
<u>. </u>	
owing	
■ % saying Excellent	
■ % saying Good	
■ % saying Fair	
■ % saying Poor	
■ % saying Don't know	
	• % saying Excellent • % saying Good • % saying Fair • % saying Poor

How would you rate the dependability and	
overall quality of city sanitary sewer service?	Comments:
90	
87	
5	
0	
12	
194	
46%	
45%	
3%	
0%	
6%	
_	<u> </u>



How would you rate the dependability and	
overall quality of the city water supply?	Comments:
86	
81	
21	
4	
0	
192	
45%	
42%	
11%	
2%	
0%	





reational programs and facilities (e.g. parks,		
s, park facilities, etc.)?	Comments:	
89		
20		
5		
194		
41%		
46% 10%		
3%		
1%		
Overall quality of city re facilities		

lavorend var rate the averall moditive of		
low would you rate the overall quality of ervices provided by the city?	mments:	
58	mments.	
117		
17		
0		
2		
194		
30%		
60% 9%		
0%		
1%		
		_
Overell avality of a	w i o o o	
Overall quality of se	ervices	
	■ % saying Excellent	
	■% saying Good	
	■ % saying Poor	
	■ % saying Don't know	