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**Description of the Office of the State Auditor**

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 160 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

**Audit Practice** - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

**Government Information** - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

**Legal/Special Investigations** - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

**Pension** - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 730 public pension funds; and

**Tax Increment Financing** - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments’ use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.
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FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INTERNAL CONTROL

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM NOT RESOLVED

04-1 Segregation of Duties

Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control. Adequate segregation of duties is a key internal control in preventing and detecting errors or irregularities. To protect the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s assets, proper segregation of the record keeping, custody, and authorization functions should be in place, and where management decides segregation of duties may not be cost effective, compensating controls should be in place.

Condition: Due to the limited number of personnel performing the business functions of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board, segregation of accounting duties necessary to ensure adequate internal accounting control is not possible. Generally, segregation of duties can be attained with the hiring of additional personnel; however, this becomes a significant cost consideration to entities such as the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board.

Context: This situation is not unusual in operations the size of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board, but management should constantly be aware of this condition and realize that the concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desirable from an internal control point of view.

Effect: Inadequate segregation of duties could adversely affect the Youth Coordinating Board’s ability to detect misstatements in a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Cause: The size of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board and its staffing limits the internal control that management can design and implement into the organization.
**Recommendation:** We recommend the Youth Coordinating Board’s officials and management be mindful that limited staffing increases the risks in safeguarding the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s assets and the proper recording of its financial activity and, where possible, implement oversight procedures to ensure that internal control policies and procedures are being followed.

**Client’s Response:**

*While understanding that strict segregation of accounting duties is optimum, it is difficult to achieve in an organization with only 5 employees. We will continue to rely on the City of Minneapolis to oversee all bank transactions and maintain all vendor information while ensuring that management and the YCB Board members are aware of the findings.*
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board Members
Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and the General Fund of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, which collectively comprise the Youth Coordinating Board’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 10, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial
reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, we identified a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as item 04-1, that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the provisions of the Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65. Accordingly, the audit included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

The Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions contains six categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, and miscellaneous provisions. Our study included all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance in the areas of contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, and public indebtedness. The contracts entered into by the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board are for services; the legal compliance guide does not pertain to this type of contracting. The City of Minneapolis has custody of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s deposits and is responsible for compliance. Relative to public indebtedness, the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board is not authorized to issue debt.
The results of our tests indicate that for the items tested, the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board complied with the material terms and conditions of applicable legal provisions.

The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s written response to the internal control finding identified in our audit has been included in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. We did not audit the Youth Coordinating Board’s response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board, management, and others within the Youth Coordinating Board and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto          /s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO          GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
STATE AUDITOR          DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR
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