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Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 150 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 650 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments’ use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor
525 Park Street, Suite 500
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103
(651) 296-2551
state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us
www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor’s web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.
MARTIN COUNTY
FAIRMONT, MINNESOTA

Year Ended December 31, 2016

Management and Compliance Report

Audit Practice Division
Office of the State Auditor
State of Minnesota
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule of Findings and Recommendations</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrective Action Plan</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This page was left blank intentionally.
Independent Auditor’s Report

Board of County Commissioners
Martin County
Fairmont, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Martin County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 19, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Martin County’s internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County’s internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A
significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Martin County’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

**Minnesota Legal Compliance**

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested in connection with the audit of the County’s financial statements: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our audit considered all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance with the provisions for tax increment financing because the County has no tax increment financing.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that Martin County failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties*, except as described in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as item 2016-001. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the County’s noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

**Martin County’s Response to Findings**

Martin County’s response to the legal compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the Corrective Action Plan. The County’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.
Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County’s internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto                  /s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO                     GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
STATE AUDITOR                    DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

October 19, 2017
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MARTIN COUNTY
FAIRMONT, MINNESOTA

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

I. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

None.

II. OTHER FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

ITEM ARISING THIS YEAR

Finding Number 2016-001

Noncomplying Investments

Criteria: Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 118A.04 subd. 5, public funds may be invested in time deposits provided that they are fully insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or bankers acceptances of United States banks.

Condition: During our review of the County’s investments, we found that the County held negotiable certificates of deposit (CDs) in excess of FDIC coverage available for the depository.

Context: As of December 31, 2016, the County had two CDs totaling $447,000 at one bank and two CDs totaling $434,000 at another bank. FDIC insurance would be limited to $250,000 for each bank, resulting in an uninsured balance of $381,000.

Effect: Noncompliance with Minnesota statute regarding investment in time deposits.

Cause: The County indicated multiple negotiable CDs were purchased from the same bank through different brokers. The County overlooked how much was already deposited with the bank before purchasing the CDs.
**Recommendation:** We recommend the County develop procedures to ensure that all negotiable CDs purchased are fully insured by FDIC or bankers acceptances of United States banks.

**View of Responsible Official:** Acknowledged

**III. PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEMS RESOLVED**

2005-003 Individual Ditch System Deficits

2013-001 Audit Adjustments
Finding Number: 2016-001
Finding Title: Noncomplying Investments

Name of Contact Persons Responsible for Corrective Action:

James Forshee, County Auditor/Treasurer, and Jessica Korte, Accountant

Corrective Action Planned:

The County has received access to a program through a broker that will allow the investments to be tracked from all brokerage firms with which the County has investments. This program also puts restrictions on purchasing any new investments that would put the County at credit risk. The County sold an investment that was noncompliant, and will use the tracking program to make sure no investments are purchased in the future that exceed FDIC coverage.

Anticipated Completion Date:

The anticipated completion date is October 10, 2017.
This page was left blank intentionally.
Finding Number:  2005-003
Finding Title: Individual Ditch System Deficits

Summary of Condition: The County had individual ditch systems with deficit cash balances and deficit fund balances at December 31, 2015.

Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported: The County will continue to review and improve the levying process to better estimate and levy for future repairs and improvements on individual ditch systems.

Status: Fully Corrected. Corrective action was taken.
Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported?
Yes ______ No ____X____

Finding Number:  2013-001
Finding Title: Audit Adjustments

Summary of Condition: During the 2015 audit, audit adjustments were proposed that resulted in changes to Martin County’s financial statements.

Summary of Corrective Action Previously Reported: The County will review procedures related to internal controls over financial reporting to include a review of balances and supporting documentation by another qualified individual to identify potential misstatements.

Status: Fully Corrected. Corrective action was taken.
Was corrective action taken significantly different than the action previously reported?
Yes ______ No ____X____