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Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 150 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

- **Audit Practice** - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

- **Government Information** - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

- **Legal/Special Investigations** - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

- **Pension** - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 700 public pension funds; and

- **Tax Increment Financing** - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments’ use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor
525 Park Street, Suite 500
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103
(651) 296-2551
state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us
www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor’s web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.
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MINNEAPOLIS YOUTH COORDINATING BOARD
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

I. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INTERNAL CONTROL

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ITEM NOT RESOLVED

Finding 2004-001

Segregation of Duties

Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control. Adequate segregation of duties is a key internal control in preventing and detecting errors or irregularities. To protect the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s assets, proper segregation of the record keeping, custody, and authorization functions should be in place, and where management decides segregation of duties may not be cost effective, compensating controls should be in place.

Condition: Due to the limited number of personnel performing the business functions of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board, segregation of accounting duties necessary to ensure adequate internal accounting control is not possible. Generally, segregation of duties can be attained with the hiring of additional personnel; however, this becomes a significant cost consideration to entities such as the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board.

Context: This situation is not unusual in operations the size of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board, but management should constantly be aware of this condition and realize that the concentration of duties and responsibilities in a limited number of individuals is not desirable from an internal control point of view.

Effect: Inadequate segregation of duties could adversely affect the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s ability to detect misstatements in a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.
**Cause:** The size of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board and its staffing limits the internal control that management can design and implement into the organization.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s officials and management be mindful that limited staffing increases the risks in safeguarding the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s assets and the proper recording of its financial activity and, where possible, implement oversight procedures to ensure that internal control policies and procedures are being followed.

**Client’s Response:**

> While understanding that strict segregation of accounting duties is optimum, it is difficult to achieve in an organization with only 7 employees. We will continue to rely on the City of Minneapolis to oversee all bank transactions and maintain all vendor information while ensuring that management and the YCB Board members are aware of the findings.

### II. OTHER ITEM FOR CONSIDERATION

**GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions**

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the independent organization that establishes standards of accounting and financial reporting for state and local governments. Effective for your calendar year 2015 financial statements, the GASB changed those standards as they apply to employers that provide pension benefits.

GASB Statement 68 significantly changes pension accounting and financial reporting for governmental employers that prepare financial statements on the accrual basis by separating pension accounting methodology from pension funding methodology. Statement 68 requires employers to include a portion of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) total employers’ unfunded liability, called the “net pension liability” on the face of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s government-wide statement of financial position. The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial position will be immediately impacted by its unfunded share of the pension liability.

Statement 68 changes the amount employers report as pension expense and defers some allocations of expenses to future years—deferred outflows or inflows of resources. It requires pension costs to be calculated by an actuary; whereas, in the past pension costs were equal to the amount of employer contributions sent to PERA during the year. Additional footnote disclosures and required supplementary information schedules are also required by Statement 68.
The net pension liability that, if material, will be reported in the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements is an accounting estimate of the proportionate share of PERA’s unfunded liability at a specific point in time. That number will change from year to year and is based on assumptions about the probability of the occurrence of events far into the future. Those assumptions include how long people will live, how long they will continue to work, projected salary increases, and how well pension trust investments will do. PERA has been proactive in taking steps toward implementation and will be providing most of the information needed by employers to report the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources.
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Independent Auditor’s Report

Board Members
Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board
Minneapolis, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and the General Fund of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Minneapolis
Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as item 2004-001, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

**Minnesota Legal Compliance**

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains six categories of compliance to be tested in connection with the audit of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s financial statements: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, and miscellaneous provisions. Our audit considered all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance with the provisions for contracting and bidding because the contracts entered into by the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board are for services and the legal compliance guide does not pertain to this type of contracting; deposits and investments was not tested because the City of Minneapolis has custody of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s deposits and is responsible for compliance; and public indebtedness was not tested because the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board is not authorized to issue debt.
In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

**Other Matters**

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations is an other item for consideration. We believe this information to be of benefit to the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board, and it is reported for that purpose.

**Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s Response to Finding**

The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s response to the internal control finding identified in our audit has been included in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

**Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Minneapolis Youth Coordinating Board’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto                /s/Greg Hierlinger
REBECCA OTTO                  GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
STATE AUDITOR                 DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

December 22, 2015