RESOLUTION NO. 2014-09

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF ANOKA CITY OF CIRCLE PINES

Resolution Authorizing Participation in State Performance Measures and Continuation of the Ten City Performance Measures of the Local Results and Innovation Council

WHEREAS, Benefits to the City of Circle Pines for participation in the Minnesota Council on Local Results and Innovation's comprehensive performance measurement program are outlined in MS 6.91 and include eligibility for a reimbursement as set by State statute; and

WHEREAS, Any city participating in the comprehensive performance measurement program is also exempt from levy limits for taxes, if levy limits are in effect; and

WHEREAS, The City Council of Circle Pines has adopted and implemented at least 10 of the performance measures, as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation, and a system to use this information to help plan, budget, manage and evaluate programs and processes for optimal future outcomes; and

NOW THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT, The City Council of Circle Pines will continue to report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, The City Council of Circle Pines will submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted by the city.

Adopted this 24th day of June, 2014 by the City Council of the City of Circle Pines.

3 Ayes, Nays, Abstention

ATTEST:

V. Keinath, City Administrator

Dave Bartholomay, Mayor

Seal

How many years have you lived in this city	
# saying Excellent	30
# saying Good	109
# saying Fair	15
# saying Poor	2
# saying Don't know	1
Total Responses	157
% saying Excellent	19%
% saying Good	69%
% saying Fair	10%
% saying Poor	1%
% saying Don't know	1%
, ,	
Overall appea	arance of city
	,
	■% saying Excellent
	■ % saying Good
	- 70 Saying Good

■ % saying Fair■ % saying Poor

■% saying Don't know

Comments: # saying Very Safe # saying Somewhat Safe # saying Somewhat unsafe # saying Very Unsafe # saying Don't know Total Responses	How would you describe your overall feeling of safety in the city? 105 46 5 0 0 156
% saying Very Safe % saying Somewhat Safe % saying Somewhat unsafe % saying Very Unsafe % saying Don't know	67% 29% 3% 0%
Overall feeli	<pre></pre>

Comments:	How would you rate the overall quality of fire
	protection services in the city?
# saying Excellent	53
# saying Good	50
# saying Fair	2
# saying Poor	50
# saying Don't know	
Total Responses	155
% saying Excellent	34%
% saying Good	32%
% saying Fair	1%
% saying Poor	0%
% saying Don't know	32%
Overall quality of	** saying Excellent ** saying Good ** saying Fair ** saying Poor ** saying Don't know

Comments:	How would you rate the overall condition city streets?	
		17
		75
		50 16
		0
		158
Overall condition	on of city streets	11% 47% 32% 10% 0%
	% saying Poor% saying Don't know	
」		

Comments:	How would you rate the overall quality of snowplowing on city streets?
	33
	26
	10
	157
	21%
	51%
	17%
	5%
	6%
Overall quality	of snowplowing % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor % saying Don't know

	Llow would you note the describe.	the and
Comments:	How would you rate the dependabili overall quality of city sanitary sewer s	
Comments.	Overall quality of city samually sewer s	63
		72
		72 5
		0
		16
		156
		40%
		46%
		3%
		0%
		10%
-		
Donandahility an	nd quality of sewer	
	id quality of sewer	
ser	rvice	
_		
	■ % saying Excellent	
	■ % saying Good	
	■ % saying Fair	
	■ % saying Poor	
	■% saying Don't know	

Comments:	How would you rate the dependability and overall quality of the city water supply?
	16 2 4 156
Dependability and supp	
	 % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor % saying Don't know

Comments:	How would you rate the overall quality of city recreational programs and facilities (e.g. parks, trails, park facilities, etc.)?
	58
	68
	18
	5
	7
	156
	37%
	44%
	12%
	3%
	4%
Overall quality of facili	
	 % saying Excellent % saying Good % saying Fair % saying Poor
	■ % saying Don't know

How would you rate police services city?	
	54
	61
	12 9
	21
	157
+	34%
	39%
	8%
	6%
	13%
 * % saying Excellent * % saying Good * % saying Fair * % saying Poor * % saying Don't know 	
	city? Services Services Services Services Services Services Services

Comments:	How would you rate the ambulance services in your city?
	24 45 8 0 79
	45
	0
	156
	15%
	29%
	5%
	0% 51%
Rate ambula	ance services **Saying Excellent**
	■ % saying Good
	■ % saying Good
	■ % saying Poor
	■ % saying Don't know

Comments:	How would you rate the quality of licensi permitting and building inspection service your city?	s in
		22
		57
		11
		7
		58
		155
		14%
		37%
		7%
		5%
		37%
	ection "% saying Excellent "% saying Good "% saying Fair "% saying Poor "% saying Don't know	

Comments:	How would you rate the overall quality of services provided by the city?
	4
	9
	1
	
	15
	299
	600
	89
	19
	30
Overall quality	of city services **Saying Excellent**
	■ % saying Good
	■ % saying Fair
	■ % saying Poor
	■ % saying Don't know