Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 150 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

**Audit Practice** - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

**Government Information** - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

**Legal/Special Investigations** - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

**Pension** - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 700 public pension funds; and

**Tax Increment Financing** - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments’ use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor
525 Park Street, Suite 500
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103
(651) 296-2551
state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us
www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor’s web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.
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MINNEAPOLIS PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor’s report issued on whether the financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles: **Unmodified**

Internal control over financial reporting:
- Material weaknesses identified? **No**
- Significant deficiencies identified? **None reported**

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? **No**

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:
- Material weaknesses identified? **No**
- Significant deficiencies identified? **Yes**

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major federal programs: **Unmodified**

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? **Yes**

The major federal program is:

Moving to Work Demonstration Program CFDA No. 14.881

The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was $2,513,536.

The Minneapolis Public Housing Authority (MPHA) qualified as a low-risk auditee? **Yes**
II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

None.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

ITEM ARISING THIS YEAR

Finding 2015-001

Special Tests and Provisions - Housing Quality Standards (HQS) Enforcement Re-Inspections


Pass-Through Agency: None.

Criteria: Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations § 200.303 states that the auditee must establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award.

Condition: The MPHA tracks HQS inspections and re-inspections in its Visual Homes system. While the MPHA created an exception report from Visual Homes to monitor compliance with the grant requirements for HQS Enforcement Inspections, not all instances of HQS deficiencies were properly identified for re-inspection. In our sample of 25 re-inspections tested, we noted 2 instances in which MPHA did not properly re-inspect the property or the housing assistance payment was not properly abated when HQS deficiencies were not corrected within 30 calendar days or the specified public housing authority (PHA)-approved extension.

The sample size was based on guidance from Chapter 21 of the AICPA Audit Guide, Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits.

Questioned Costs: None.

Context: When housing units under contract fail to meet HQS, the public housing authority must require the responsible party (the owner and/or family) to correct any life-threatening HQS deficiencies within 24 hours after the inspection and all other HQS deficiencies within 30 calendar days or within a specified PHA-approved extension.
Supervisors use the internally created HQS Enforcement exception report from its Visual Homes system to monitor compliance with the grant requirements for HQS Enforcement Inspections.

**Effect:** The failure to properly monitor and re-inspect or abate housing assistance payments for housing units that fail to meet HQS increases the risk that program participants will receive benefits when they are not eligible or a landlord will receive housing assistance payments for ineligible housing units.

**Cause:** The HQS Enforcement exception report from Visual Homes was not properly designed to monitor all aspects of this compliance requirement.

**Recommendation:** We recommend the MPHA establish policies and procedures improving oversight of the re-inspection process to ensure re-inspections are performed timely and housing assistance payments are properly abated.

**Corrective Action Plan:**

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

*Rita Ytzen, Senior Supervisor of HCV Program*

Corrective Action Planned:

*To assure that Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) are not released and paid to the property owner following an HQS cancellation of the HAP Contract, the Inspections Administrative Assistant will assume the responsibility, rather than the Eligibility Technicians, for placing the hold on future payments effective the date of the HQS termination. Assigning the duty to one staff person, rather than the eighteen staff is a stop-gap measure that will help prevent overpayments of Housing Assistance Payments from slipping through the process.*

*The HQS Enforcement Report will be reworked to document all PHA approved extensions between the date of the failed inspection and the date of the re-inspection. These extensions will include our inability to complete the re-inspection based on a “No Entry”. This will allow Inspections to better identify and monitor all delays between the failed inspection and the required re-inspection.*

**Anticipated Completion Date:**

*The procedure, regarding changing the responsibility for cancellation of HAP Contract has already been put in place. We anticipate that the revised HQS Enforcement Report will be available for Fiscal Year 2017.*
IV. OTHER MATTER

ITEM ARISING THIS YEAR

Finding 2015-002

Eligibility - Housing Assistance Payment Calculation

Program: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD) Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers (CFDA No. 14.871), Award #MN002VO/MN002AF, 2015

Pass-Through Agency: None.

Criteria: Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations § 200.303 states that the auditee must establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award.

Condition: We noted in our sample of 15 housing assistance payments tested that information was input incorrectly into Visual Homes, the system used by the MPHA to calculate the monthly housing assistance payments, resulting in the improper calculation of 2 housing assistance payments.

Questioned Costs: None.

Context: Proper input of information into the Visual Homes system is an important function to ensure the accurate and proper calculation of housing assistance payments. Quality control reviews and peer clerical audits are performed on a monthly basis to monitor compliance with grant requirements.

Effect: The improper input of information into Visual Homes increases the risk that a program participant will receive benefits when they are not eligible or an eligible participant will receive an incorrect level of benefits.

Cause: Personnel entering case information into Visual Homes did not ensure all required information was input correctly.

Recommendation: We recommend the MPHA implement additional procedures to provide reasonable assurance that all necessary documentation to support eligibility calculations exist and are properly input into Visual Homes.
Corrective Action Plan:

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Rita Ytzen, Senior Supervisor of HCV Program

Corrective Action Planned:

To better assure the accurate calculation of Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) and participant rent portion, including the correct input of income date, we will expand the number of FUP and VASH records reviewed through our quality control process.

Anticipated Completion Date:

We will implement the increase in the number of FUP and VASH quality control audits effective with the January 2017 Fiscal Year.
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REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor’s Report

Members of the Board of Commissioners
Minneapolis Public Housing Authority
Minneapolis, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority (MPHA) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the MPHA’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 8, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the MPHA’s internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the MPHA’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the MPHA’s internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the MPHA’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.
Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

**Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the MPHA’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

**Minnesota Legal Compliance**

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested in connection with the audit of the MPHA’s financial statements: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our audit considered all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance with the provisions for tax increment financing because the MPHA does not administer any tax increment financing districts.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the MPHA failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the MPHA’s noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

**Other Matters**

Also included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs is an other matter described as item 2015-002.
MPHA’s Response to Finding

The MPHA’s response to the other matter identified in our audit has been included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The MPHA’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Other Political Subdivisions and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the MPHA’s internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the MPHA’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto          /s/Greg Hierlinger
REBECCA OTTO          GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
STATE AUDITOR          DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

September 8, 2016
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REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; 
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND 
REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS 
REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE 

Independent Auditor’s Report

Members of the Board of Commissioners 
Minneapolis Public Housing Authority 
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program

We have audited the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority’s (MPHA) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the MPHA’s major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2015. The MPHA’s major federal program is identified in the Summary of Auditor’s Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management’s Responsibility
Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor’s Responsibility
Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the MPHA’s major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit
includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the MPHA’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the MPHA’s compliance with those requirements.

**Opinion on the Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the MPHA complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2015.

**Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2015-001. Our opinion on the major federal program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The MPHA’s response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as a Corrective Action Plan. The MPHA’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

**Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the MPHA is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the MPHA’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the MPHA’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on
a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2015-001, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

The MPHA’s response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as a Corrective Action Plan. The MPHA’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statements of the MPHA as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the MPHA’s basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated September 8, 2016, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the MPHA’s basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The SEFA is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.
Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/Rebecca Otto /s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
STATE AUDITOR DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

September 8, 2016
## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
### FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor</th>
<th>Federal CFDA Number</th>
<th>Pass-Through Grant Numbers</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Passed Through to Subrecipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 8 Project-Based Cluster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Income Housing Assistance Program - Section 8 New Construction/Substantial Rehabilitation</td>
<td>14.182</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 1,062,679</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Income Housing Assistance Program - Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation</td>
<td>14.856</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>965,135</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Section 8 Project-Based Cluster</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,027,814</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuum of Care Program</td>
<td>14.267</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23,693</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers</td>
<td>14.871</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,294,116</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving to Work Demonstration Program</td>
<td>14.881</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79,438,925</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 83,784,548</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority (MPHA). The MPHA’s reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the MPHA under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2015. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the MPHA, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the MPHA.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments, or the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. The MPHA has elected to not use the ten percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.