

STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto
State Auditor

MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE REPORT

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 150 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 650 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor
525 Park Street, Suite 500
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103
(651) 296-2551
state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us
www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

Year Ended December 31, 2017



Management and Compliance Report

**Audit Practice Division
Office of the State Auditor
State of Minnesota**

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8
Corrective Action Plan	15
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	18
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	21

This page was left blank intentionally.



REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500
525 PARK STREET
SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice)
(651) 296-4755 (Fax)
state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail)
1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners
Scott County
Shakopee, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Scott County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 19, 2018. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Scott County Community Development Agency, the discretely presented component unit, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Scott County's internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Scott County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Minnesota Legal Compliance

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested in connection with the audit of the County's financial statements: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing. Our audit considered all of the listed categories, except that we did not test for compliance with the provisions for tax increment financing because Scott County does not administer any tax increment financing districts.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that Scott County failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties*. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the County's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting, compliance, and the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Counties* and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/*Rebecca Otto*

REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

/s/*Greg Hierlinger*

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

June 19, 2018

This page was left blank intentionally.



REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500
525 PARK STREET
SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice)
(651) 296-4755 (Fax)
state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail)
1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of County Commissioners
Scott County
Shakopee, Minnesota

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Scott County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2017. Scott County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Scott County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Scott County Community Development Agency (CDA) component unit, which expended \$4,518,552 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2017, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of the Scott County CDA because other auditors were engaged to perform a single audit in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Scott County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit

requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Scott County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

Basis for Qualified Opinion on Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778)

As described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Scott County did not comply with requirements regarding CFDA No. 93.778, Medicaid Cluster, as described in finding number 2017-002 for Eligibility. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the County to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

Qualified Opinion on Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778)

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, Scott County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Medicaid Cluster for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Unmodified Opinion on the Other Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Scott County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its other major federal program identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed other instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2017-001, 2017-003, and 2017-004. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

Scott County's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. Scott County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Scott County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a material weakness and other items that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2017-002 to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2017-001, 2017-003, and 2017-004 to be significant deficiencies.

Scott County's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Corrective Action Plan. Scott County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Scott County, Minnesota, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated June 19, 2018, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. We did not audit the financial statements of the Scott County CDA, the discretely presented component unit, which was audited by other auditors. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Scott County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA), as required by Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The SEFA is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the SEFA is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

/s/*Rebecca Otto*

REBECCA OTTO
STATE AUDITOR

June 19, 2018

/s/*Greg Hierlinger*

GREG HIERLINGER, CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017**

I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles: **Unmodified**

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? **No**
- Significant deficiencies identified? **None reported**

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? **No**

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? **Yes**
- Significant deficiencies identified? **Yes**

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major federal programs: **Unmodified for all major programs, except for Medicaid Cluster, which is qualified.**

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? **Yes**

The major federal programs are:

Child Support Enforcement	CFDA No. 93.563
Medicaid Cluster	CFDA No. 93.778

The threshold for distinguishing between Types A and B programs was \$750,000.

Scott County qualified as a low-risk auditee? **Yes**

II. FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

None.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

ITEMS ARISING THIS YEAR

Finding Number 2017-001

Reporting

Programs: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Child Support Enforcement (CFDA No. 93.563), Award No. 1704MNCSES, 2017; and Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1705MN5ADM, 2017

Pass-Through Agency: Minnesota Department of Human Services

Criteria: The Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS) issues instructions (DHS Bulletin #17-32-04) for preparing the Income Maintenance quarterly expense reports (Form DHS-2550). These instructions indicate expenditures reported in Section A (Income Maintenance Random Moment Time Study (IMRMS) Expenditures) are direct costs associated with staff required to participate in the random moment time study. Quarterly salaries of time study participants must be reported. Salaries of individuals who should have been participants but were not included in the quarter's random moment sample should not be included. The salaries of those not included in the IMRMS are to be reported in Section E (Income Maintenance Overhead Expenditures).

Condition: The County reported salaries and fringe expenditures on Form DHS-2550 Section A for newly hired and promoted employees who were not listed on the random moment time study. These employees should have been reported in Section E of Form DHS-2550.

Questioned Costs: Cannot be determined. The Minnesota Department of Human Services determines part of the County's federal reimbursement for multiple programs based upon its random moment time study performed.

Context: Form DHS-2550 is used by the State of Minnesota Department of Human Services to reimburse the County federal awards, including Child Support Enforcement and the Medicaid Cluster.

The sample size was based on chapter 11 of the AICPA Audit Guide, *Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits*.

Effect: The County incorrectly reported a total of \$100,464 of salaries and fringe expenditures in Section A instead of in Section E in the second and fourth quarters of 2017 on Form DHS-2550. This was projected to a total of \$200,927 for 2017. While both sections are used to calculate federal reimbursement to the County, the total reimbursement and the amount by federal program may be affected.

Cause: Scott County does not currently have a process for separating and removing individual staff salaries during the first quarter of employment and reporting them as administrative overhead rather than IMRMS expenditures. Additionally, an employee was promoted to supervisor in January 2017, and the County continued reporting her expenditures in IMRMS expenditures in error.

Recommendation: We recommend the County implement procedures to review the list of employees on the random moment time study and report only these participants in Section A of Form DHS-2550. Those employees not listed on the IMRMS should be reported in Section E of Form DHS-2550.

View of Responsible Official: Concur

Finding Number 2017-002

Eligibility

Program: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1705MN5ADM, 2017

Pass-Through Agency: Minnesota Department of Human Services

Criteria: Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* § 200.303 states that the auditee must establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing the award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. These controls should include a review process for case files to ensure the intake function related to eligibility requirements is met.

Condition: The Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS) maintains the computer system, MAXIS, which is used by the County to support the eligibility determination process. The County has review procedures in place to review case files, which included Medical Assistance cases on a monthly basis. Reviews were performed in 2017 for the months of January through June. After June, reviews were not being performed. When performing case file reviews for eligibility, it was noted that not all documentation was available to support participant eligibility. In other circumstances, information was input into MAXIS incorrectly. The following instances were noted in a sample of 40 cases tested:

- One case file lacked documentation of availability of other health insurance requirements.
- Six case files did not have sufficient verification of assets and/or were incorrectly entered into MAXIS.
- Four case files did not have sufficient verification of income and/or were incorrectly entered into MAXIS.
- One case file did not have a DHS letter on file for adoption assistance.
- Two case files did not have an adoption assistance agreement on file.

Questioned Costs: Not applicable. The County administers the program, but benefits to participants in this program are paid by the State of Minnesota.

Context: The sample size was based on guidance from chapter 11 of the AICPA Audit Guide, *Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits*.

The State of Minnesota contracts with the County Health and Human Services Department to perform the “intake function” (meeting with the social services client to determine income and categorical eligibility), while the Minnesota DHS maintains MAXIS, which supports the eligibility determination process and actually pays the benefits to the participants.

Effect: The improper input of information into MAXIS and lack of follow-up of issues increases the risk that a program participant will receive benefits when they are not eligible. The lack of case file reviews specific to the Medicaid Cluster increases the risk that clients will receive benefits when they are not eligible.

Cause: Financial workers entered incorrect information or missed documentation requirements. Errors with adoption assistance cases were due to a misunderstanding of case file requirements.

Recommendation: We recommend the County implement additional procedures to provide reasonable assurance that all necessary documentation to support eligibility determinations exists and is properly input into MAXIS and issues are followed up in a timely manner. In addition, consideration should be given to performing supervisory case reviews specific to the Medicaid Cluster.

View of Responsible Official: Concur

Finding Number 2017-003

Procurement, Suspension, and Debarment - Uniform Guidance Written Policies and Procedures

Program: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1705MN5ADM, 2017

Pass-Through Agency: Minnesota Department of Human Services

Criteria: Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* § 200.318 states that the non-federal entity must use its own documented procurement procedures which reflect applicable state, local, and tribal laws and regulations, provided that the procurements conform with applicable federal law and the standards identified in this regulation.

Condition: The County has documented a broad procurement policy that states, "Staff shall follow all Federal and State Procurement Laws and County procurement processes and requirements as defined in the *Procurement and Contract Guidelines...*" The County's *Procurement and Contract Guidelines* document its procurement procedures that reflect applicable state laws. Some of these procedures also provide enough guidance that certain procurements, generally of large amounts, made in accordance with them may conform with applicable federal law. Beyond this, the County has asserted that its reference to federal law is adequate, and it will be up to individual staff to find and apply the most current federal procurement guidance. Examples of items not addressed in the County's documented procurement procedures include, but are not limited to:

- references or cites to any Uniform Guidance procurement regulations;
- identification of the documentation the County deems to be sufficient and maintained to detail the history of the procurement;
- identification of all the acceptable procurement methods (ie: micro-purchase and small purchase), the criteria applicable to them, and the documentation the County deems to be sufficient and maintained to support meeting the requirements associated with each of them;
- reference to the Davis-Bacon Act, its requirements, and what the County deems to be sufficient and maintained to support compliance with the requirements; and
- reference to suspension and debarment, its requirements, and what the County deems to be sufficient and maintained to support compliance with the requirements.

The County did not enact the waiver offered by the Uniform Guidance for implementation of the new procurement standards.

Questioned Costs: None

Context: This issue was discovered during the audit of the Medicaid Cluster; however, it impacts federal programs entity-wide. Written policies and procedures that reflect the specific components of federal regulations improve controls to help ensure compliance with federal award requirements.

Effect: Because written policies and procedures did not reflect specific Uniform Guidance procurement, suspension, and debarment requirements, noncompliance with federal program requirements occurred.

Cause: The County interpreted that the specific components of federal regulations in the Uniform Guidance did not need to be included in its own documented policies and procedures.

Recommendation: We recommend the County develop and implement written policies and procedures that can be consistently applied by all staff which, when followed, ensures compliance with Uniform Guidance procurement, suspension, and debarment requirements. In addition, this should identify the documentation the County expects staff to maintain to be sufficient to support compliance.

View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged

Finding Number 2017-004

Procurement, Suspension, and Debarment

Program: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1705MN5ADM, 2017

Pass-Through Agency: Minnesota Department of Human Services

Criteria: Federal requirements prohibit non-federal entities from contracting with or making subawards under covered transactions to parties that are suspended or debarred. Title 2 CFR § 200.320(b) states that, if small purchase procedures are used, price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources.

Condition: Based on testing performed, the following items were noted:

- For all three contracts, the County was unable to provide documentation to support that, at the time it entered into the contract, the County had complied with suspension and debarment requirements.
- One of the five small purchases tested did not have price or rate quotations obtained.

Questioned Costs: None

Context: The County asserted that it had procedures that are required to be performed to ensure vendors are not suspended, debarred, or otherwise excluded, although this is not addressed in the County's documented procurement policies and procedures. The County also asserted that it had performed those procedures, although there was no documenting support.

The sample size was based on guidance from chapter 11 of the AICPA Audit Guide, *Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits*.

Effect: The County did not have documentation to support compliance with federal regulations.

Cause: The County was not aware that federal procurement requirements are applicable to contracts and procurement transactions that are partially funded with federal grants, including social services grants.

Recommendation: We recommend the County maintain documentation to support suspension and debarment procedures are performed at the time of contracting and that price or rate quotations are obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources for small purchases.

View of Responsible Official: Acknowledged

This page was left blank intentionally.



SCOTT COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER

Office of Management and Budget

200 Fourth Avenue West

Shakopee, MN 55379-1220

(952) 496-8166 • Fax (952) 496-8382

<http://www.co.scott.mn.us>

REPRESENTATION OF SCOTT COUNTY SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

Finding Number: 2017-001

Finding Title: Reporting

Programs: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Child Support Enforcement (CFDA No. 93.563), Award No. 1704MNCSES, 2017; and Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1705MN5ADM, 2017

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Kari Ouimette, Economic Assistance Director

Corrective Action Planned:

The County's IMRMS coordinator will continue to make timely and appropriate updates to the database per policy. The database will be printed on the 20th day in month 3 in each quarter and retained for auditing and fiscal purposes.

The error regarding the employee who was promoted to supervisor has been corrected and the adjustment will be reflected on the next Form DHS-2550 submitted. Please note that this supervisor remained working within Income Maintenance - she was promoted from Financial Assistance Specialist - Lead Worker to Financial Assistance Supervisor.

Going forward, the County will implement procedures to review the list of employees in the random moment time study for the quarter. The list will be reconciled against a salary report to ensure only random moment time study participants are reported in Section A of Form DHS-2550. Any employees who started during the quarter (and not yet included in the study) in addition to any employee who was on a leave of absence in excess of six weeks during the quarter (and temporarily removed from the study) will be reported in Section E of Form DHS-2550.

Anticipated Completion Date:

July 1, 2018

Finding Number: 2017-002

Finding Title: Eligibility

Program: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1705MN5ADM, 2017

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Kari Ouimette, Economic Assistance Director

Corrective Action Planned:

Supervisors and/or Lead Workers will review pending health care and FIAT reports regularly. Supervisors will facilitate monthly case reviews which will consist of an in-depth look at applications, documentation in case files, compliance with program policy, accuracy on STAT panels - among other things. Case reviews will be sent to the assigned workers and errors will be fixed timely. Policies and procedures on common errors will be reviewed during unit meetings. A targeted training session on Adoption Assistance cases will be offered and required for all workers who process these cases.

Anticipated Completion Date:

Immediately/Ongoing (Adoption Assistance case management training beginning Fall 2018)

Finding Number: 2017-003

Finding Title: Procurement, Suspension, and Debarment - Uniform Guidance Written Policies and Procedures

Program: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1705MN5ADM, 2017

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Danny Lenz, Chief Financial Officer

Corrective Action Planned:

The County's Procurement Policy and Procedures fully comply with all local, State and Federal requirements both through specific policy and procedure requirements and through reference to State and Federal requirements. The policies and procedures were updated and approved specifically to meet Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* § 200.318 and are in full compliance. The recommendations are not only redundant, but would have no practical impact on compliance. Redundant repetition of Federal regulations would serve to decrease compliance as any change to those regulations would have to be repeated in the County's procedures, leaving a time period of procedures being out of date as the changes were identified and go through the County approval processes before being updated. However, the County will clarify its procedures to specifically call out the requirement to adhere to these regulations and will provide a link to the Federal purchasing regulations in the County procedures.

Anticipated Completion Date:

August 1, 2018

Finding Number: 2017-004

Finding Title: Procurement, Suspension and Debarment

Program: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778), Award No. 05-1705MNADM, 2017

Name of Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action:

Danny Lenz, Chief Financial Officer

Corrective Action Planned:

The County concurs that one of the small purchases tested did not obtain price or rate quotations. The County's procurement staff will provide training to departmental staff on micro and small purchases and the appropriate tiers at which additional quotes are necessary.

The County disputes that any violation occurred with regard to the suspension and debarment procedures. All staff utilizing Federal funds are well trained and knowledgeable on the requirements for confirming that vendors are not on the Federal suspension and debarment list. As such, no vendors on the Federal suspension and debarment list have been contracted with by the County and thus there is no support for this finding. Regardless, the County will update its procedures to require screen shots of the check of the suspension and debarment list to be included in all contracts utilizing Federal grant funding.

Anticipated Completion Date:

August 1, 2018

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017**

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Education			
Child Nutrition Cluster			
School Breakfast Program	10.553	1000006830	\$ 7,491
National School Lunch Program	10.555	1000006831	12,929
Passed through Minnesota Department of Human Services			
SNAP Cluster			
State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)	10.561	172MN101S2514	<u>580,237</u>
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$ 600,657
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Direct			
Shelter Plus Care	14.238	-	\$ 132,323
U.S. Department of Justice			
Direct			
Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	16.585	-	\$ 118,785
U.S. Department of Labor			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development			
Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	Not provided	\$ 29,806
Passed through Dakota-Scott Service Delivery Area #14			
Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Cluster			
WIA Adult Program	17.258	Not provided	84,461
WIA Youth Activities	17.259	Not provided	93,430
WIA Dislocated Worker Formula Grants	17.278	Not provided	<u>91,806</u>
Total U.S. Department of Labor			\$ 299,503
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Transportation			
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster			
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	84165	\$ 2,015,050
Transit Services Programs Cluster			
Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities	20.513	Not provided	301,435

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017**
(Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Transportation (Continued)			
Passed through the City of Shakopee, Minnesota			
Highway Safety Cluster			
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	18-04-04	3,383
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608	18-03-05	7,605
Highway Safety Cluster	20.616	18-02-05	<u>2,268</u>
National Priority Safety Programs			
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$ 2,329,741
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency			
Passed through Minnesota Pollution Control Agency			
Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	66.460	69592	\$ 107,888
U.S. Department of Education			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Health			
Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families	84.181	12-7000-000097	\$ 1,933
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Health			
Public Health Emergency Preparedness	93.069	U90TP000529	\$ 119,324
Universal Newborn Hearing Screening	93.251	Not provided	4,675
Immunization Cooperative Agreements	93.268	5NH231P000707	2,925
TANF Cluster			
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	1601MNTANF	76,567
(Total Temporary Assistance for Needy Families 93.558 \$637,541)			
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994	Not provided	66,226
Passed through Minnesota Department of Human Services			
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	93.556	G-1601MNFPSS	215,246
TANF Cluster			
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	1601MNTANF	560,974
(Total Temporary Assistance for Needy Families 93.558 \$637,541)			
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	1704MNCSES	1,682,457
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State-Administered Programs	93.566	1701MNRCMA	449

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017**
(Continued)

Federal Grantor Pass-Through Agency Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grant Numbers	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Human Services			
(Continued)			
CCDF Cluster			
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	G1701MNRCDF	152,705
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	93.590	G-1502MNFRPG	67,991
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	93.645	G-1601MNCWSS	42,731
Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658	1701MNFOST	436,729
Social Services Block Grant	93.667	G-1701MNSOSR	410,726
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	93.674	G-1601MNCILP	8,574
Medicaid Cluster			
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	05-1705MN5ADM	<u>3,243,962</u>
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			\$ 7,092,261
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Natural Resources			
Boating Safety Financial Assistance	97.012	R29G40CGFFY16	\$ 13,436
Passed through Minnesota Department of Public Safety			
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared			
Disasters	97.036	Not provided	240,740
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	SCOTTCO-0072	66,371
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	SCOTTCO-0010	<u>19,988</u>
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$ 340,535
Total Federal Awards			\$ 11,023,626

Scott County did not pass any federal awards through to subrecipients during the year ended December 31, 2017.

Totals by Cluster

Total expenditures for Child Nutrition Cluster	\$ 20,420
Total expenditures for SNAP Cluster	580,237
Total expenditures for WIA Cluster	269,697
Total expenditures for Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	2,015,050
Total expenditures for Transit Services Programs Cluster	301,435
Total expenditures for Highway Safety Cluster	5,651
Total expenditures for TANF Cluster	637,541
Total expenditures for CCDF Cluster	152,705
Total expenditures for Medicaid Cluster	3,243,962

This page was left blank intentionally.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017**

1. Reporting Entity

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activities of federal award programs expended by Scott County. Scott County's financial statements include the operations of the Scott County Community Development Agency (CDA) component unit, which expended \$4,518,552 in federal awards during the year ended December 31, 2017, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The Scott County CDA had a separate single audit. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the financial statements.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Scott County under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2017. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Scott County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of Scott County.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Scott County has elected to not use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

**SCOTT COUNTY
SHAKOPEE, MINNESOTA**

4. Reconciliation to Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue

Federal grant revenue per Schedule of Intergovernmental Revenue	\$ 10,918,664
Grants received more than 60 days after year-end, unavailable in 2017	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	132,498
Child Support Enforcement	64,500
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	9,816
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	6,302
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	40,770
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	5,411
Unavailable in 2016, recognized as revenue in 2017	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	(115,084)
Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families	(483)
Universal Newborn Hearing Screening	(400)
Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program	(1,894)
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	(35,825)
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	<u>(649)</u>
Expenditures Per Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	<u>\$ 11,023,626</u>