I, Kimberlee K. Blaeser, being the duly qualified and acting City Clerk of the City of Woodbury, Minnesota, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I have compared the attached and foregoing Council Resolution No. 17-96, “AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE OF MINNESOTA AND THE COUNCIL ON LOCAL RESULTS AND INNOVATION”, with the original thereof on file in my office, and that the same is a true and complete transcript of the resolution of the City Council of said municipality at a meeting duly called and held on the 31st day of May 2017.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of said City this 1st day of June 2017.

Kimberlee K. Blaeser
City Clerk

(SEAL)

Attachment: Resolution No. 17-96
RESOLUTION NO. 17-96

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF WOODBURY,
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA

AUTHORIZATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PERFORMANCE
MEASUREMENT PROGRAM ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE OF MINNESOTA
AND THE COUNCIL ON LOCAL RESULTS AND INNOVATION

WHEREAS, a voluntary performance measurement and reporting program has
been established by the State of Minnesota; and

WHEREAS, participation in this program will provide the City of Woodbury with
a reimbursement of $0.14 (fourteen cents) per capita annually; and

WHEREAS, this program is being implemented by the Council on Local Results
and Innovation (CLRI) and the Minnesota State Auditor’s Office; and

WHEREAS, the CLRI has established a set of performance measures for cities to
adopt and report; and

WHEREAS, this set of measures must be formally adopted to meet the
requirements set forth by the enacting legislation of this program; and

WHEREAS, the City currently collects all needed data and has given permission
by the State Auditor’s Office to use the biennial citizen survey to satisfy annual reporting
requirements.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of
Woodbury, that the City has adopted the set of city measures established by the CLRI and that
the City will continue to report the results of the performance measures to its citizenry by the end
of the year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the city’s/county’s website, or through
a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the City Council of the City of Woodbury will
submit to the Office of the State Auditor the actual results of the performance measures adopted
by the city.

This Resolution was declared duly passed and adopted and was signed by the
Mayor and attested to by the City Administrator on the 31st day of May 2017.

Mary Giuliani Stephens, Mayor

Attest:

Clinton P. Gridley, City Administrator
(SEAL)
MEMORANDUM

To: State of Minnesota – Council on Local Results and Innovation
From: Jonathan Williams-Kinsel, Assistant to the City Administrator
Date: June 1, 2017
Re: 2016 Performance Measurement Report for the City of Woodbury

On May 31, 2017, the Woodbury City Council adopted a resolution authorizing city staff to report on the following measures for the State of Minnesota Performance Measurement Program through the Council on Local Results and Innovation. A minimum of 10 performance measures, as suggested by the “standard measures for cities” document, will be submitted to the Office of the State Auditor.

The City of Woodbury performs a biennial survey, and the survey results included in the reporting are from the 2017 survey.

Attached to this memorandum is the City Council resolution that authorized the City of Woodbury to participate in this program.

General
1. Rating of the overall quality of services provided by the city (467 responses)
   - Excellent: 30%
   - Good: 60%
   - Fair: 9%
   - Poor: 1%
   - Don’t Know/Refused: 0%
2. Percent change in the taxable property market value:
   a. 3.4% increase in taxable market value to total 7.8 billion in 2017.
3. Citizens’ rating of the overall appearance of the city (467 responses)
   - Excellent: 45%
   - Good: 46%
   - Fair: 7%
   - Poor: 2%
   - Don’t Know/Refused: 1%
4. Code enforcement cases per 1,000 population: 643 / 68,725 x 1,000 = 9.4
5. Number of library visits per 1,000 population: 361,647 / 68,725 x 1,000 = 5,262.2
6. Bond rating: AAA
7. Citizens’ rating of the quality of city recreational programs and facilities (467 responses)
   - Excellent: 26%
   - Good: 42%
   - Fair: 9%
   - Poor: 1%
   - Don’t Know/Refused: 21%
8. Accuracy of post-election audit (% of ballots counted correctly): NA

**Public Safety (Police, Fire, and EMS)**

9. Part I and II crime rate:
   a. Part I – 1,401
   b. Part II – 2,367

10. Part I and II crime clearance rate: **48% of crimes cleared**

11. Citizens' rating of safety in their community (467 responses)
    
    **Excellent:** 49%
    **Good:** 46%
    **Fair:** 5%
    **Poor:** 0%

12. Average police response time: **Not collected**

13. Insurance industry rating of fire services: **NA**

14. Citizens’ rating of the quality of fire protection services (467 responses)
    
    **Excellent:** 36%
    **Good:** 30%
    **Fair:** 2%
    **Poor:** 1%
    **Don't Know/Refused:** 32%

15. Average fire response time:
    a. 5 firefighters on scene in less than 9 minutes: **82%**
    b. 6 additional firefighters on scene in less than 13 minutes: **100%**

16. Fire calls per 1,000 population: 899 / 67,875 x 1,000 = **13.2**

17. Number of fires with loss resulting in investigation: **20**

18. EMS calls per 1,000 population: 3,549 / 67,875 x 1,000 = **52.2**

19. EMS average response time: 3.7

**Public Works**

20. Average city pavement condition rating:
    
    a. Average PCI of non-residential streets: **72.3**
    b. Average PCI of residential streets: **68.7**

21. Citizens’ rating of the road conditions in their city (“quality of pavement repair and patching” – 467 responses)
    
    **Excellent:** 10%
    **Good:** 41%
    **Fair:** 34%
    **Poor:** 15%

22. Expenditures for road rehabilitation per paved lane mile rehabilitated: **$1,061**

23. Percentage of all jurisdiction lane miles rehabilitated in the year: **31.5%**

24. Average hours to complete road system during snow event: **7.27**

25. Citizens’ rating of snowplowing on city streets:
    
    **Excellent:** 30%
Good: 43%
Fair: 22%
Poor: 6%
Don't Know/Refused: 1%

26. Citizens’ rating of the dependability and quality of the city water supply (446 responses)
   Excellent: 28%
   Good: 41%
   Fair: 16%
   Poor: 9%
   Don’t Know/Refused: 6%

27. Average cost of operation and maintenance and repair per mile of water main: $5,793.35

28. Citizens’ rating of the dependability and quality of the city sanitary sewer service (442 responses)
   Excellent: 32%
   Good: 39%
   Fair: 6%
   Poor: 1%
   Don’t Know/Refused: 21%

29. Number of sewer blockages on city system per 100 connections: 0 blockages