HENNEPIN COUNTY

MINNESOTA

Hennepin County, Board of Commissioners **RESOLUTION 18-0240**

2018

The following resolution was moved by Commissioner Mike Opat and seconded by Commissioner Debbie Goettel:

WHEREAS, the Minnesota Legislature created the Council on Local Results and Innovation in 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Council on Local Results and Innovation released a standard set of eleven performance measures for counties that will aid residents, taxpayers, and state and local elected officials in determining the efficacy of counties in providing services and measure residents' opinion of those services; and

WHEREAS, Hennepin County is committed to performance management and reporting; and

WHEREAS, Hennepin County has implemented a local performance measurement system as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation; and

WHEREAS, Hennepin County does not have jurisdiction for Parks and, therefore, will not participate in the Parks' performance measurement in 2018; and

WHEREAS, Hennepin County has adopted and implemented the minimum ten performance measures for counties developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation; and

WHEREAS, a county that elects to participate in the standard measures program for 2018 may be eligible for a reimbursement of \$.014 per capita in government aid, not to exceed \$25,000;

BE IT RESOLVED, that the county will publish the 2017 results of the ten adopted performance measures on the county's web site by the end of the 2018 calendar year; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Hennepin County Board of Commissioners authorizes staff to notify the Office of the State Auditor by July 1, 2018 of Hennepin County's commitment to participate in the 2018 Performance Measurement Program.

The question was on the adoption of the resolution and there were 7 YEAS and 0 NAYS, as follows:

County of Hennepin Board of County Commissioners				
YEAS	NAYS	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	
Mike Opat				
Linda Higgins				
Marion Greene				
Peter McLaughlin				
Debbie Goettel				
Jan Callison				
Jeff Johnson				

ATTEST:

M. Roge

Deputy/Clerk to the County Board

Hennepin County Board of Commissioners 300 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, MN 55487 hennepin.us



HENNEPIN COUNTY MINNESOTA

Model Performance Measures for Counties

2018

Center of Innovation and Excellence 701 4th Avenue South – Suite 360, Minneapolis, MN 55415 612-348-4466 612-348-7423

Contents

Public Safety	1
Probation/Corrections	5
Public Works	6
Public Health	8
Social Services	9
Taxation	11
Elections	
Veterans' Services	13
Library	18
Contact information	19

Public Safety

Part I and II Crime Rate

- Part I crimes include murder, rape, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft, and arson.
- Part II crimes include other assaults, forgery/counterfeiting, embezzlement, stolen property, vandalism, weapons, prostitution, other sex offenses, narcotics, gambling, family/children crime, Driving Under the Influence, liquor laws, disorderly conduct, and other offenses.

Population 1,254,137	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2
Offenses	92,295	42,686	48,324
Clearances	33,152	9,235	22,968
Clearance Rate	36%	22%	48%
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	7,359	3,404	3,853

Offenses, Clearances, Percent Cleared, and Crime Rate by Agency - 2017

Offenses, Clearances, Percent Cleared, and Crime Rate by Agency - 2016

Population 1,239,456	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2
Offenses	95,299	40,922	52,962
Clearances	34,250	9,608	23,590
Clearance Rate	36%	23%	45%
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	7,689	3,302	4,273

Offenses, Clearances, Percent Cleared, and Crime Rate by Agency - 2015				
Population 1,229,084	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2	
Offenses	95,521	40,984	54,537	
Clearances	30,919	10,068	20,851	
Clearance Rate	32%	25%	38%	
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	8,310	3,334	4,976	

201E \sim

Offenses, Clearances, Percent Cleared, and Crime Rate by Agency - 2014

Population 1,211,265	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2
Offenses	99,441	43,045	56,396
Clearances	37,274	10,250	27,024
Clearance Rate	37%	24%	48%
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	8,210	3,554	4,656

Offenses, Clearances, Percent Cleared, and Crime Rate by Agency - 2013

Population 1,179,108	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2
Offenses	102,697	44,253	58,444
Clearances	41,544	10,780	30,764
Clearance Rate	40%	24%	53%
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	6,449	3,736	2,763

Population 1,163,318	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2
Offenses	103,625	44,839	58,786
Clearances	42,800	10,425	32,375
Clearance Rate	41%	23%	55%
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	8,923	3,861	5,052

Offenses, Clearances, Percent Cleared, and Crime Rate by Agency - 2011

Population 1,211,265	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2
Offenses	104,380	44,335	60,045
Clearances	45,548	10,787	34,761
Clearance Rate	44%	24%	58%
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	6,855	3,798	3,057

Population 1,211,265	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2
Offenses	107,654	44,349	66,305
Clearances	49,564	10,773	38,791
learance Rate	46%	24%	61%
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	9,386	3,869	5,509

Offenses, Clearances, Percent Cleared, and Crime Rate by Agency - 2009				
Population 1,138,316	Grand Total	Total Part 1	Total Part 2	
Offenses	111,630	45,502	66,128	
Clearances	50,175	11,274	38,901	
Clearance Rate	45z5	25%	59%	
Crime Rate Per 100,000 pop	9,806	3,997	5,809	

State of Minnesota, Department of Public Safety, 2009-2016, Bureau of Criminal Apprehension Minnesota Justice Information Services, Uniform Crime Report.

Probation/Corrections

Recidivism for the purposes of this report means the percentage of adult offenders with a felony supervision event ending for any reason outside of death or incarceration in prison in a given year who receive a new felony conviction within three years of the end of the supervision event.

- This does not include juveniles or non-felon adults.
- This includes only subsequent convictions in Minnesota. No effort tis made to identify out of state convictions

Measure: Percent of Adult Probation Offenders with new felony conviction							
Felony Recidivism	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	
No – Did <u>NOT</u> recidivate	80.8%	84.4%	82.4%	85.1%	83.7%	81.7%	
Yes – DID recidivate	19.2%	15.6%	17.6%	14.9%	16.3%	18.3%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Public Works

Hours to plow complete system during snow event							
Year (2 A.M. Events Only)	Urban	Rural					
2017-2018	4:25	4:06					
2016-2017	4:30	4:19					
2015-2016	4:01	4:04					
2014-2015	4:01	4:06					
2013-2014	4:54	4:42					
2012-2013	4:42	4:36					
2011-2012	4:36	4:36					
2010-2011	4:36	4:23					
2009-2010	4:26	3:41					
2008-2009	4:29	4:08					
2007-2008	4:41	4:36					
2006-2007	5:00	4:36					
2005-2006	4:28	4:34					

Hennepin County roadway system is monitored via an annual inspections program which rates pavements for their ride quality.

- This data is used by the pavement management system to produce the Pavement Serviceability Rating (PSR).
- The rating varies from "Very Poor" (0.0) to "Very Good" (5.0).

Average county pavement condition rating

Year	Percent of Lane Miles Rated "Good" (4.0) or "Very Good" (5.0)
2017	63.0%
2016	66.2%
2015	52.8%
2014	58.7%
2013	61.9%
2012	60.5%
2011	52.9%
2010	54.3%
2009	46.6%
2008	48.1%
2007	51.5%
2006	49.4%
2005	47.0%
2004	32.6%
2003	28.7%
2002	43.5%
2001	48.5%
2000	51.1%
1999	52.7%
1998	50.6%
1997	44.0%

Contact James Grube, Director of Transportation, Public Works Department, 612-596-0307

Public Health

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Rating

• Client Survey: Excellent, Very Good, Good, Fair, Poor

SHAPE 2014 – Adult Data Book:

"Overall Health – In general, would you say your health is...?

	Sample Size (N=)	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
Male	3,118	18.8% ±2.2	44.1% ±2.6	30.4% ±2.5	5.7% ±1.1	1.1% ±0.5
Female	5,422	18.1% ±1.5	45.8% ±1.8	27.6% ±1.7	7.5% ±1.1	1.0% ±0.4
Hennepin County Total	8,541	18.5% ±1.3	45.0% ±1.6	28.9% ±1.5	6.6% ±0.8	1.0% ±0.3

Social Services

Workforce participation rate among Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) and Diversionary Work Program (DWP) recipients.

Minnesota Department of Human Services MFIP Management Indicator: TANF Work Participation Rates

Year	Annualized TANF Work Participation Rate
2017	65.9%*
(April 2016- March 2017)	03.578
2016	60.4%*
(April 2015-March 2016)	00.475
2015	
(April 2014 – March 2015) Published 07/2015	38.18%
2014	
(April 2013 – March 2014)	38.10%
Published 7/2017	
2013	
(April 2012 – March 2013)	37.40%
Published 7/2013	

* The 2016 and 2017 data provided in the annualized SS-I average the three-year SS-I for quarters two, three, and four of 2015 and the first quarter of 2016, weighted by the number of adult sin each baseline quarter. This is a change in methodology from prior computations of this measure.

Data Source: Minnesota Department of Human Services Publication. Minnesota Family Investment Program Annualized Self-support Index (SS-I) and Work Participation Rate for the year (For Determination of Performance-Based Funds for the Following Year).

Percentage of children where there is NOT a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months following an intervention

Federal or State Target: 100%

Year	Percentage
July 2016-June 2017	88.5%
July 2015 – June 2016	83.7%
July 2014 – June 2015	87.9%
July 2013 – June 2014	92.4%
July 2012 – June 2013	90.7%
July 2011 – June 2012	90.3%
July 2010 – June 2011	89.7%
July 2009 – June 2010	90.4%

Data Source: SSIS Charting and Analysis for a 12 month period for all children who were victims of substantiated child abuse and/or neglect during the reporting period.

Contact Jodi Wentland, Human Services Department Director, 612-543-4344.

Taxation

Level of assessment ratio

Note: If the median ratio falls between 90% and 105%, the level of assessment is determined to be acceptable.

Year	Median Ratio (%)	Mean Ratio (%)
2018	95.2	95.8
2017	95.0	95.6
2016	94.9	95.5
2015	92.3	93.3
2014	93.3	91.1
2013	95.3	97.3
2012	95.4	97.1
2011	95.3	96.9
2010	95.3	97.4
2009	95.0	96.3
2008	95.0	95.9
2007	95.8	96.0
2006	95.9	96.2
2005	95.8	96.3
2004	95.7	96.1
2003	95.9	96.3
2002	95.4	95.6

Elections

Accuracy of post-election audit (percentage of ballots counted accurately)

Year	Accuracy
2017	The County Canvassing Board did not conduct a post-election audit because, by law, these are only conducted in even years. There is no change from 2016 data.
2016	The County Canvassing Board randomly selected 13 precincts to be hand counted and compared against the election night machine count. All 13 had 100% accuracy.
2015	The County Canvassing Board did not conduct a post-election audit because, by law, these are only conducted in even years. There is no change from 2014 data.
2014	The County Canvassing Board randomly selected 13 precincts to be hand counted and compared against the election night machine count. All 13 had 100% accuracy.
2013	The County Canvassing Board did not conduct a post-election audit because, by law, these are only conducted in even years. There is no change from 2012 data.
2012	The last even-year election — 13 precincts were randomly selected for audit: All 13 precincts had 100% accuracy.
2011	The County Canvassing Board did not conduct a post-election audit because, by law, these are only conducted in even years. There is no change from 2010.
2010	The County Canvassing Board randomly selected 13 precincts to be hand counted and compared against the election night machine count. Listed below were the precincts selected and the difference by percentage on how the hand count compared to the election night results.

Contact Mark Chapin, Resident and Real Estate Services Department. 612-348-5297.

Veterans' Services

Output Measure: Percent of veterans who said their questions were answered when seeking benefit information from their County Veterans' Office

Full Year – 2017 (N=238)

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total Responses
I am able to get what I need					
at this service location, when	49%	51%	0%	0%	37
l need it.					
Staff members at this location	C10/	200/	00/	00/	41
pay attention to what I say.	61%	39%	0%	0%	41
I have opportunity to make					
choices that are important to	54%	46%	0%	0%	41
me. The services I receive at this					
service location make me	54%	46%	0%	0%	39
better able to do the things I					
want to do now.					
Staff members give me clear					
information on the different	55%	43%	3%	0%	40
service choices available to					
help me.					
Staff members here clearly					
explain to me what I need to	58%	43%	0%	0%	40
do next to get the services I					
need or want.					

Full Year – 2016 (N=233)

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total Responses
I am able to get what I need	48%	49%	3%	0%	223
at this service location, when					
l need it.					
Staff members at this location	68%	30%	2%	0%	227
pay attention to what I say.					
I have opportunity to make choices that are important to me.	55%	43%	2%	0%	223
The services I receive at this service location make me	49%	49%	2%	0%	221
better able to do the things I					
want to do now.					
Staff members give me clear	50%	46%	4%	0%	221
information on the different					
service choices available to					
help me.					
Staff members here clearly	57%	40%	2%	0%	224
explain to me what I need to					
do next to get the services I need or want.					

First Quarter 2015

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total Responses
I am able to get what I need at this service location, when I need it.	37%	59%	4%	0%	75
Staff members at this location pay attention to what I say.	62%	36%	1%	0%	77
I have opportunity to make choices that are important to me.	47%	49%	3%	1%	77
The services I receive at this service location make me	48%	47%	4%	1%	75

better able to do the things I want to do now.					
Staff members give me clear information on the different service choices available to help me.	52%	45%	1%	1%	73
Staff members here clearly explain to me what I need to do next to get the services I need or want.	57%	40%	1%	1%	75

First Quarter 2014

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total Responses
I am able to get what I need	49%	51%	0%	0%	39
at this service location, when					
l need it.					
Staff members at this location	69%	31%	0%	0%	39
pay attention to what I say.					
I have opportunity to make choices that are important to	59%	38%	0%	3%	39
me. The services I receive at this	51%	49%	0%	0%	27
service location make me	51%	49%	0%	0%	37
better able to do the things I					
want to do now.					
Staff members give me clear	47%	53%	0%	0%	36
information on the different					
service choices available to					
help me.					
Staff members here clearly	53%	47%	0%	0%	36
explain to me what I need to					
do next to get the services I					
need or want.					

First Quarter 2013

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total Responses
I am able to get what I need	49%	51%	0%	0%	40
at this service location, when					
l need it.					
Staff members at this location	69%	31%	0%	0%	39
pay attention to what I say.					
I have opportunity to make choices that are important to	59%	38%	0%	3%	39
me.					
The services I receive at this	51%	49%	0%	0%	37
service location make me					
better able to do the things I					
want to do now.					
Staff members give me clear	47%	53%	0%	0%	36
information on the different					
service choices available to					
help me.					
Staff members here clearly	53%	47%	0%	0%	36
explain to me what I need to					
do next to get the services I					
need or want.					

First Quarter 2012

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total Responses
I am able to get what I need at this service location, when	35%	65%	0%	0%	20
I need it.					
Staff members at this location pay attention to what I say.	35%	65%	0%	0%	20
I have opportunity to make choices that are important to me.	53%	47%	0%	0%	19
The services I receive at this service location make me	45%	55%	0%	0%	20

better able to do the things I want to do now.					
Staff members give me clear information on the different service choices available to help me.	50%	45%	0%	5%	20
Staff members here clearly explain to me what I need to do next to get the services I need or want.	50%	50%	0%	0%	20

First Quarter 2011

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total Responses
I am able to get what I need	26%	63%	11%	0%	19
at this service location, when					
l need it.					
Staff members at this location	57%	43%	0%	0%	21
pay attention to what I say.					
I have opportunity to make choices that are important to	47%	47%	5%	0%	19
me. The services I receive at this	45%	50%	5%	0%	20
service location make me	45%	50%	5%	0%	20
better able to do the things I want to do now.					
	33%	67%	0%	0%	18
Staff members give me clear information on the different	5570	0770	078	076	10
service choices available to					
help me.					
Staff members here clearly	44%	56%	0%	0%	18
explain to me what I need to					
do next to get the services I					
need or want.					

Contact Neil Doyle, Director of Veterans Services, Health and Human Services 612-XXX-XXXX.

Library

Library Visits						
Year	Number of Residents	Library Visits	Visits per Resident			
2017	1,237,604	5,316,242	4.30			
2016	1,223,149	5,379,722	4.40			
2015	1,210,720	5,462,859	4.51			
2014	1,195,058	5,568,480	4.66			
2013	1,180,138	5,240,918	4.44			
2012	1,184,576	5,400,000	4.56			
2011	1,152,425	5,856,792	5.08			
2010	1,168,983	5,764,193	4.93			

Contact Lois Thompson, Library Director, 612-543-8541.

Contact information

Center of Innovation and Excellence 701 4th Avenue South – Suite 360, Minneapolis, MN 55415 612-348-4466 612-348-7423

