At the regular Council meeting held Wednesday, June 19, 2013, at 6:00 p.m. in the Hibbing City Council Chamber, Clerk Patrick L. Garrity offered the following Resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 13-06-03**

ADOPTING MODEL PERFORMANCE MEASURES

**WHEREAS,** the Council on Local Results and Innovation has released a standard set of ten performance measures for Cities; and

**WHEREAS,** by February 2012 the Council must create a comprehensive performance measurement system for cities and counties to implement in 2012; and

**WHEREAS,** cities and counties that chose to participate in the new standards measure program may be eligible for a reimbursement in LGA and an exemption from levy limits; and

**WHEREAS,** in order to be eligible for a LGA reimbursement and exemption from levy limits, the City has officially adopted the corresponding ten performance benchmarks developed by the Council and submitted a declaration of that adoption to the Office of the State Auditor by July 1, 2013.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** by the City Council of the City of Hibbing, County of St. Louis, State of Minnesota, that the City has adopted and implemented the minimum ten performance measures developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation:

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the City has implemented a local performance measurement system as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the City will report the results of the ten adopted measures to its residents before the end of the calendar year through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the City will survey its residents by the end of the calendar year on the services included in the performance benchmarks.

The motion to adopt the foregoing Resolution was duly supported by Councilor Jennifer Hoffman Saccoman and, upon being put to a vote, carried as follows:

FOR ADOPTION: Councilor Jennifer Hoffman Saccoman
Councilor Tim Harkonen
Councilor Darby Sater
Councilor Jack Lund
Councilor Patty Shafer
Clerk Patrick L. Garrity
Mayor Rick J. Cannata
AGAINST:  None

ABSENT:  None

Passed and adopted this 19th day of June, 2013.

CITY OF HIBBING

Rick J. Cannata, Mayor

ATTEST:  Patrick L. Garrity, Clerk-Treasurer
City of Hibbing Performance Measurements Program - 2012 Citizen Survey Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you rate the overall appearance of the city?</th>
<th>How would you describe your overall feeling of safety in the city?</th>
<th>How would you rate the overall protection services in the city?</th>
<th>How would you rate the overall condition of city streets?</th>
<th>How would you rate the overall quality of snowplowing on city streets?</th>
<th>How would you rate the dependability and overall quality of city sanitary sewer service?</th>
<th>How would you rate the dependability and overall quality of the city water supply?</th>
<th>How would you rate the overall quality of city recreational programs and facilities (e.g. parks, trails, park facilities, etc.)?</th>
<th>How would you rate the overall quality of services provided by the city?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># saying Excellent</td>
<td>2</td>
<td># saying Very Safe</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># saying Good</td>
<td>36</td>
<td># saying Somewhat Safe</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># saying Fair</td>
<td>17</td>
<td># saying Somewhat unsafe</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># saying Poor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td># saying Very Unsafe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td># saying Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># saying Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td># saying Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td># saying Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% saying Excellent</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>% saying Very Safe</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>% saying Excellent</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% saying Good</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>% saying Somewhat Safe</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>% saying Good</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% saying Fair</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>% saying Somewhat unsafe</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>% saying Fair</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% saying Poor</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>% saying Very Unsafe</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>% saying Poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% saying don't know</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>% saying Don't know</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>% saying Don't know</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2011/Payable 2012 Taxable Market Value $ 622,539,400
2012/Payable 2013 Taxable Market Value $ 615,949,100

Reduction in Taxable Market Value $ (6,590,300)

Percentage Reduction from Prior Year -1.05%

Primary Factors for Taxable Market Value Reduction:
(1) Implementation of Market Value Exclusion
(2) Decline in property values due to market conditions/economy