STATE OF MINNESOTA

Office of the State Auditor



Rebecca Otto State Auditor

CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS
COMMUNITY PLANNING AND ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
GENERAL AGENCY RESERVE FUND SYSTEM
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 160 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

Audit Practice - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

Government Information - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

Legal/Special Investigations - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

Pension - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 730 public pension funds; and

Tax Increment Financing - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments' use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor 525 Park Street, Suite 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103 (651) 296-2551 state.auditor@state.mn.us www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor's web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.

Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008



Audit Practice Division Office of the State Auditor State of Minnesota



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Reference	Page
Introductory Section		
Organization		1
Financial Section		
Independent Auditor's Report		2
Basic Financial Statements		
Comparative Statement of Net Assets	Exhibit 1	4
Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and		
Changes in Net Assets	Exhibit 2	6
Comparative Statement of Cash Flows	Exhibit 3	7
Notes to the Financial Statements		8
Compliance Report		
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with		
Enabling Resolutions		25





ORGANIZATION

City Council				
Ward 1	Paul Ostrow			
Ward 2	Cam Gordon			
Ward 3	Diane Hofstede			
Ward 4	Barbara Johnson			
Ward 5	Don Samuels			
Ward 6	Robert Lilligren			
Ward 7	Lisa Goodman			
Ward 8	Elizabeth Glidden			
Ward 9	Gary Schiff			
Ward 10	Ralph Remington			
Ward 11	Scott Benson			
Ward 12	Sandy Colvin Roy			
Ward 13	Betsy Hodges			
City Council terms all expire December 31, 2009.				
Director				

Mike Christenson - Term is indefinite.

Page 1







STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Minneapolis, Minnesota Community Planning and Economic Development Department

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the General Agency Reserve Fund System of the City of Minneapolis Community Planning and Economic Development Department as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Minneapolis' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the General Agency Reserve Fund System and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Minneapolis or its Community Planning and Economic Development Department as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the changes in their financial position and cash flows of their proprietary funds for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the General Agency Reserve Fund System of the City of Minneapolis Community Planning and Economic Development Department as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the changes in its financial position and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

April 29, 2010





EXHIBIT 1

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

		2008		
<u>Assets</u>				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents				
Common reserve account	\$	2,863,083	\$	2,686,868
Industrial development account		6,245,505		6,928,074
Debt service account		1,283,941		1,544,976
Construction funds		4,915		188,596
Other		222,951		128,723
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$	10,620,395	\$	11,477,237
Investments				
Common reserve account	\$	841,740	\$	841,740
Industrial development account		26,437,759		25,642,798
General agency reserve fund		1,211,014		1,219,622
Total investments	\$	28,490,513	\$	27,704,160
Receivables				
Accrued interest	\$	108,513	\$	111,755
Capitalized leases receivable from developers		2,590,000		2,455,000
Capitalized notes receivable from developers		780,000		735,000
Receivables from other funds				20,000
Total receivables	\$	3,478,513	\$	3,321,755
Total current assets	\$	42,589,421	\$	42,503,152
Noncurrent assets				
Receivables				
Capitalized leases	\$	53,585,085	\$	56,286,404
Notes receivable from developer		405,000		1,185,000
Total noncurrent assets	\$	53,990,085	\$	57,471,404
Total Assets	\$	96,579,506	\$	99,974,556

EXHIBIT 1 (Continued)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

	2009			2008		
<u>Liabilities</u>						
Current liabilities						
Bonds payable	\$	3,370,000	\$	3,190,000		
Accounts payable		14,239		28,614		
Accrued interest payable		270,687		286,497		
Developer reserve deposits		3,704,823		3,528,608		
Unearned revenue		760,839		827,503		
Total current liabilities	\$	8,120,588	\$	7,861,222		
Noncurrent liabilities						
Bonds payable		53,995,000		57,660,000		
Total Liabilities	<u>\$</u>	62,115,588	\$	65,521,222		
Net Assets						
Restricted for debt service	<u>\$</u>	34,463,918	\$	34,453,334		

EXHIBIT 2

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

		2009		
Operating Revenues				
Interest on capitalized leases and developer fees	\$	3,394,208	\$	3,508,432
Interest income		324,420		477,832
Increase in fair value of investments		379,721		964,780
Administrative fees		270,318		269,568
Other revenues		6,591		14,555
Total Operating Revenues	<u></u> \$	4,375,258	\$	5,235,167
Operating Expenses				
Interest	\$	3,401,627	\$	3,571,598
Professional services and other expenses		283,005		224,661
Total Operating Expenses	\$	3,684,632	\$	3,796,259
Operating Income (Loss)	\$	690,626	\$	1,438,908
Transfers out		(680,042)		
Change in Net Assets	\$	10,584	\$	1,438,908
Net Assets - January 1		34,453,334		33,014,426
Net Assets - December 31	<u>\$</u>	34,463,918	\$	34,453,334

EXHIBIT 3

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

		2009		2008
Cash Flows from Operating Activities				
Receipts from customers and users	\$	7,429,649	\$	7,338,919
Payments to suppliers		(297,380)		(215,067)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	7,132,269	\$	7,123,852
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities				
Transfers to other funds	\$	(680,042)	\$	-
Principal paid on bonds and notes		(3,485,000)		(2,845,000)
Interest paid on bonds and notes		(3,417,437)		(3,581,282)
Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital financing activities	\$	(7,582,479)	\$	(6,426,282)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities				
Purchase of investments	\$	(2,040,515)	\$	(1,262,044)
Sale of investments		1,633,883		1,141,283
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$	(406,632)	\$	(120,761)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	(856,842)	\$	576,809
Cash and Cash Equivalents - January 1		11,477,237		10,900,428
Cash and Cash Equivalents - December 31	<u>\$</u>	10,620,395	\$	11,477,237
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided				
by (used in) operating activities Operating Income (Loss)	\$	690,626	\$	1,438,908
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) before	Ψ	020,020	Ψ	1,430,700
operating transfers to net cash provided by (used in) operating				
activities				
Interest expense		3,401,627		3,571,598
Increase in fair value of investments		(379,721)		(964,780)
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable		3,242		32,367
(Increase) decrease in notes receivable		735,000		690,000
(Increase) decrease in capital leases receivable		2,566,319		2,017,364
(Increase) decrease in receivables from other funds		20,000		-
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable		(14,375)		9,594
Increase (decrease) in developer reserve deposits		176,215		24,324
Increase (decrease) in unearned revenue		(66,664)		304,477
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	<u>\$</u>	7,132,269	\$	7,123,852

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 AND 2008

1. Organization and Purpose

Establishment of the Department - In 1981, the Housing and Redevelopment Authority in and for the City of Minneapolis, Minnesota, was renamed the Minneapolis Community Development Agency (the MCDA) by an ordinance of the Minneapolis City Council under authority granted by Minnesota Laws 1980, Chapter 595. In 1986, the MCDA was reorganized by Minneapolis City Ordinance 86-Or-035 under the above authority. By such ordinance, the MCDA was reorganized to encourage, among other things, commercial and industrial growth and redevelopment and to process applications for industrial revenue bond financing. In addition, the public housing activities formerly carried on were organized separately. In 2003, the Minneapolis City Council adopted resolutions transferring MCDA activities to the City. These activities are organized under the Community Planning and Economic Development Department (CPED) of the City of Minneapolis.

Creation of Common Bond Fund Program - The City has adopted a Basic Resolution, a General Agency Reserve Resolution, and a Supplemental General Agency Reserve Resolution, which enable the City to issue and sell obligations to finance the construction, reconstruction, acquisition, improvement, betterment, and extension of authorized facilities. This is generally known as the Common Bond Fund program and consists of two separate common bond funds (A and B) for governmental and nongovernmental issuers. The bonds are payable from and secured by the following: discretionary contributions from the City, lease and note payments, deficiency accounts, administrative fee account, common reserve account, Industrial Development Bond (IDB) account, general agency reserve fund, and a pledge of up to one-half percent of tax capacity of the City of Minneapolis. The City has also pledged to maintain certain reserve ratios as defined in the Basic Resolution. In addition, certain developers have issued letters of credit for the benefit of the General Agency Reserve Fund System (GARFS) to back the common reserve requirement in lieu of cash deposits.

Appropriation of GARFS Funds - The Basic and Supplemental Resolutions noted above direct GARFS to obtain lease or loan agreements to meet the debt service requirements of the financing. Substantially all receipts of GARFS are pledged and appropriated for debt services on outstanding bonds. GARFS' funds are maintained in separate accounts by an independent trustee and by the CPED.

1. Organization and Purpose (Continued)

Initial Funding - In order to provide initial funding for GARFS, an advance of \$5,000,000 was made from the MCDA's development account in 1984. The advance of \$5,000,000, along with the accrued interest thereon of \$2,698,116, was permanently transferred from the MCDA's development account to GARFS during 1988.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Financial Statements - The financial statements of GARFS are combined into a single enterprise fund and are intended to present only the financial activity of the General Agency Reserve Fund System. The statements do not include various other funds of the City of Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Basis of Accounting - The GARFS' enterprise fund is accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are earned, and expenses are recognized when they are incurred. GARFS has elected to apply Financial Accounting Standards Board pronouncements and interpretations issued on or before November 30, 1989, unless they contradict Governmental Accounting Standards Board pronouncements.

Lease Agreements - The City of Minneapolis has entered into lease agreements with, and made loans to, developers. The annual lease and loan payments approximate the principal and interest requirements on the outstanding bonds. The leases are capitalized in an amount equal to the principal of the related bonds, net of any unexpended construction fund proceeds (see Note 9). Each lease agreement includes a bargain purchase option exercisable at the end of the lease term. In addition, the leased property may be purchased at various anniversaries during the lease terms at amounts at least equal to the outstanding principal amount of the underlying bonds.

Developer Reserve Deposits - Certain developers have made reserve deposits upon commencement of the lease or note agreement as security for payments due under the agreement. Reserve deposits will be applied against the final lease or note payments due or outstanding balance in the event of default by the developer. In addition, letters of credit have been issued by corporations and financial institutions for the benefit of GARFS to back the common reserve requirement of certain developers in lieu of cash deposits (see Note 4).

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Unearned Revenue - Unearned revenue represents interest payments received from developers prior to the due date. Amounts are reflected in revenue during the period earned.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Commitments and Contingencies - The CPED is involved in litigation encountered in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on the financial position or results of operations of GARFS.

3. <u>Cash and Investments</u>

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of less than 90 days from the date of acquisition.

Except for pooled investments in the custody of the City of Minneapolis Treasurer, all other cash and cash equivalents and investments of GARFS are held and invested by an independent trustee bank, which is a member of the Federal Reserve System. All such cash and investments, except those in the custody of the City Treasurer, are held by the bank's trust department in the name of GARFS or the CPED. All cash deposits not invested are federally insured.

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a financial institution failure, GARFS' deposits may not be returned to it. There is no policy for GARFS' custodial credit risk. Deposits of GARFS are predominantly held in money market accounts, which do not require collateral. The remaining GARFS' deposits are held by the City of Minneapolis, where deposits have adequate collateral levels. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, GARFS' deposits were not exposed to custodial credit risk.

3. Cash and Investments (Continued)

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in the market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. For investments of GARFS, exposure to interest rate risk is minimized by investing in both short-term and long-term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. It is the policy for GARFS to invest only in securities that meet the ratings requirements set by state statute.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss that may be caused by investing in a single issuer. Investments in any one issuer at December 31, 2009 and 2008, that represent five percent or more of the GARFS' investments are as follows:

	Reported Amount				
Issuer	2009			2008	
Municipal bonds					
Chicago (IL) Board of Education	\$	5,931,300	\$	5,634,420	
U.S. Department of Treasury		4,300,680		4,132,910	
Houston (TX) Independent School District		3,454,627		3,306,146	
Grand Prairie (TX) Independent School District		2,798,656		2,736,188	
Jacksonville (FL) Electric Authority		2,546,432		2,441,370	
Shelby County (TN)		2,251,992		2,313,391	
Florida State Board of Education		2,039,520		2,094,160	
Cook County (IL) School District #170		1,781,010		1,693,512	

3. Cash and Investments (Continued)

The following table presents GARFS' investment balances at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and information relating to interest and credit quality investment risks:

<u>2009</u>

		Standard & Poor's Credit Risk Rating		Interest Rate Risk	
Investment Type	Low Credit Risk (a)	Medium or Higher Credit Risk (b)	Not Rated	Weighted Average Maturity (Years)	 Carrying (Fair) Value
U.S. government agency securities					
Federal National Mortgage Association	100%	-	-	5.7	\$ 7,016
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	100%	-	-	4.3	84,774
U.S. Treasury securities	100%	-	-	4.3	4,300,680
Investment pools/mutual funds					
City of Minneapolis	-	-	100%	N/A	1,211,014
Municipal bonds	100%	-	-	0.7	22,045,289
Guaranteed investment contract	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.4	 841,740
Total investments					\$ 28,490,513
Cash and cash equivalents					 10,620,395
Total Cash and Investments					\$ 39,110,908

2008

<u>=000</u>	Standard & Poor's Credit Risk Rating			Interest Rate Risk	
Investment Type	Low Credit Risk (a)	Medium or Higher Credit Risk (b)	Not Rated	Weighted Average Maturity (Years)	 Carrying (Fair) Value
U.S. government agency securities					
Federal National Mortgage Association	100%	-	-	6.9	\$ 8,488
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	100%	-	-	5.4	86,739
U.S. Treasury securities	N/A	-	-	4.2	4,132,910
Investment pools/mutual funds					
City of Minneapolis	-	-	100%	N/A	1,219,622
Municipal bonds	100%	-	-	1.7	21,414,661
Guaranteed investment contract	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.4	 841,740
Total investments					\$ 27,704,160
Cash and cash equivalents					 11,477,237
Total Cash and Investments					\$ 39,181,397

N/A Not Applicable

(b) Medium or higher credit risk is any rating below low credit risk.

⁽a) Low credit risk is considered a rating of A or better for long-term securities.

3. Cash and Investments (Continued)

Investments as of December 31 are as follows:

	2009							
	An	nortized Cost		Fair Value	An	nortized Cost		Fair Value
Pooled investments	\$	1,208,360	\$	1,211,014	\$	1,217,009	\$	1,219,622
Municipal bonds		15,062,219		22,045,289		15,062,219		21,414,661
Federal agency obligations		86,632		91,790		88,752		95,227
Other federal obligations		4,222,805		4,300,680		3,805,403		4,132,910
Guaranteed investment contracts		841,740		841,740		841,740		841,740
Total	\$	21,421,756	\$	28,490,513	\$	21,015,123	\$	27,704,160

GARFS purchased a Put Agreement effective October 24, 2000, administered by its trustee bank. The Put Agreement provides a minimum portfolio value to manage the exposure GARFS has to changes in the fair market value of certain debt securities defined as follows: those securities that, in the aggregate, cost \$15,062,219 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, with fair values of \$22,045,289 and \$21,414,661 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, with maturities of seven years. The fair value of the securities subject to the Put Agreement exceeded the minimum portfolio value stipulated by the Put Agreement.

The Put Agreement contains certain restrictive covenants which require, among other things, that the investments encompassed by the Put Agreement maintain a minimum rating of BBB as determined by an independent rating agency.

4. Bonded Debt Security

In addition to funds maintained by GARFS, the bond obligations issued are secured by the following:

Letters of credit have been issued by corporations and financial institutions for the benefit of GARFS to back the common reserve requirements of certain developers in lieu of cash deposits as follows at December 31, 2009:

Pajor & Associates Discount Steel	\$ 126,562 246,162
Bridgerail Properties	249,313
Historic Theatre Trust	1,600,000
Quality Resource Group	266,000
New French Bakery	 810,456
Total	\$ 3,298,493

4. Bonded Debt Security (Continued)

Tax Pledge and Reserve Ordinance - The Minneapolis City Council passed an ordinance, as amended, which pledges up to one-half percent of tax capacity to secure payment of bond principal and interest on all bonds issued after May 22, 1987, the effective date of the resolution.

5. <u>Long-Term Debt Bond Issues</u> (see pages 17 through 20)

A summary of long-term debt activity for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, is as follows:

	2009	2008		
Development Revenue Bonds Payable - January 1 Issued Retired	\$ 60,850,000 (3,485,000)	\$ 63,695,000 - (2,845,000)		
Payable - December 31	\$ 57,365,000	\$ 60,850,000		
Due Within One Year	\$ 3,370,000	\$ 3,190,000		

6. Related-Party Transactions

GARFS contributed \$680,042 in 2009 to the City of Minneapolis in support of industrial development activities. This amount is shown as a transfer out in the Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets.

Laurel Village - In 1995, the CPED entered into an agreement with the developer of Laurel Village in which the CPED committed to use \$2,656,318 of tax increment revenues, or other available funds, through the year 2016 to stabilize funding for the John Alden Apartments. These funds were repledged to pay debt service on the Laurel Village Series 1997 Development Revenue Bonds. The total paid by the CPED pursuant to this commitment was \$112,805 and \$113,961 in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

7. Industrial Development Bond Account

Within GARFS, there is an IDB account. Funds are remitted to this account as specified in the Common Bond Fund Resolutions. The City has pledged not to reduce the IDB account to a balance less than \$20,000,000. The balance in the IDB account was \$32,683,264 and \$32,570,872 as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Management intends to transfer \$5,000,000 to the City of Minneapolis for industrial development activities; \$4,870,231 of this amount has been transferred.

8. Receivables From Other City Funds

	2	009		2008
Stimson Building	Φ.		Φ.	20.000
Residual receivable	\$	-	\$	20,000

Stimson Building - On October 1, 2001, the CPED issued \$6,000,000 Development Revenue Bonds, Stimson Building, Series 2001-4. The proceeds of Series 2001-4 are to be primarily used in the redevelopment of the Stimson Building. The CPED transferred funds to GARFS for the required debt service payments.

9. Capitalized Leases and Notes Receivable

According to the Basic Resolution and Indenture, GARFS is to enter into a Revenue Agreement with developers receiving funds. Such agreements are in the form of capitalized leases or notes receivable (see also Note 2). The agreements outstanding are detailed on the schedules on pages 21 through 24.

10. Bonds Called and Refunded

The CPED has discharged certain bond series by irrevocably depositing in escrow a sum of cash and securities bearing interest and maturing such that all principal or redemption price of and interest due on the bonds were paid or could be paid in full.

Bond Issue/Series	Escrow Date	Redemption Date
Northern Cap Mfg. Co. (12/1/98)	July 30, 2004	June 1, 2008
Stevens Square Refunding	September 22, 2004	June 1, 2011
Theatres Project	December 20, 2005	December 1, 2011
Elmer Enterprises Refunding	July 1, 2007	June 1, 2011

11. Other Commitments and Contingencies

In connection with the normal conduct of its affairs, the CPED is involved in various claims, litigation, and judgments. None of these cases directly involve GARFS. It is management's intent that GARFS' resources would not be used to settle any of these claims. Consequently, it is expected that the final settlement of these matters will not materially affect the financial statements of GARFS.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OUTSTANDING DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS DECEMBER 31, 2009

	Interest	Issue	Final Maturity
	Rate	Date	Date
General Agency Reserve Fund System			
Carlson Companies	4.85% to 6.00%	03-01-96	02-01-11
Halper Box	5.10% to 6.15%	04-01-97	06-01-17
Baker Bearing	5.10% to 6.20%	05-01-97	12-01-16
Laurel Village Alden Limited Partnership II	4.30% to 5.75%	10-01-97	06-01-27
100 East 22nd Associates - A	4.00% to 5.50%	10-01-97	06-01-12
Cord Sets	4.10% to 5.50%	07-01-98	06-01-18
Discount Steel - A	5.00% to 5.25%	12-01-99	06-01-19
Discount Steel - B	6.75%	12-01-99	06-01-09
Pajor & Associates	4.75% to 6.75%	03-01-00	12-01-25
Resource, Inc.	4.65% to 6.00%	08-01-00	12-01-20
Bridgerail Properties	4.31% to 7.00%	09-01-02	06-01-22
Kristol Properties	2.45% to 5.12%	11-20-03	12-01-23
Infinite Graphics	2.25% to 5.50%	07-14-04	12-01-24
Hennepin Theatre Trust	5.23% to 6.30%	12-20-05	12-01-35
Ambassador Press	4.27% to 6.50%	06-26-06	12-01-26
Quality Resource Group	5.28% to 5.84%	03-04-07	12-01-27
New French Bakery	4.62% to 5.70%	07-26-07	06-01-28

Total Outstanding Development Revenue Bonds

2008 Amounts

Bonds and Notes							Principal Due	Interest Due
	Issued	Retired			Outstanding		in 2010	 in 2010
\$	8,370,000	\$	7,185,000	\$	1,185,000	\$	780,000	\$ 59,550
	2,400,000		1,155,000		1,245,000		130,000	72,472
	2,900,000		1,575,000		1,325,000		160,000	77,030
	2,515,000		535,000		1,980,000		65,000	111,895
	2,820,000		2,135,000		685,000		265,000	34,100
	1,500,000		635,000		865,000		80,000	45,375
	1,900,000		55,000		1,845,000		150,000	91,025
	1,000,000		1,000,000		-		-	-
	1,505,000		310,000		1,195,000		45,000	79,663
	1,650,000		535,000		1,115,000		75,000	65,175
	2,750,000		645,000		2,105,000		110,000	138,125
	3,300,000		1,270,000		2,030,000		145,000	96,290
	2,475,000		560,000		1,915,000		125,000	100,888
	21,055,000		1,190,000		19,865,000		335,000	1,226,105
	8,400,000		995,000		7,405,000		495,000	392,849
	3,100,000		185,000		2,915,000		100,000	164,348
	9,990,000		300,000		9,690,000		310,000	 493,356
\$	77,630,000	\$	20,265,000	\$	57,365,000	\$	3,370,000	\$ 3,248,246
\$	77,630,000	\$	16,780,000	\$	60,850,000			

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MATURITIES ON OUTSTANDING PRINCIPAL BALANCES OF BOND ISSUES AND INTEREST PAYMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2009

	 2010		2011		2012	 2013
Carlson Companies	\$ 780,000	\$	405,000	\$	-	\$ -
Halper Box	130,000		140,000		145,000	155,000
Baker Bearing	160,000		165,000		175,000	190,000
Laurel Village Alden Limited Partnership II	65,000		65,000		70,000	75,000
100 East 22nd Associates - A	265,000		275,000		145,000	-
Cord Sets	80,000		80,000		85,000	90,000
Discount Steel - A	150,000		160,000		165,000	175,000
Pajor & Associates	45,000		45,000		50,000	55,000
Resource, Inc.	75,000		80,000		85,000	90,000
Bridgerail Properties	110,000		115,000		125,000	130,000
Kristol Properties	145,000		155,000		160,000	160,000
Infinite Graphics	125,000		130,000		135,000	140,000
Historic Theatre Trust	335,000		355,000		375,000	395,000
Ambassador Press	495,000		520,000		540,000	565,000
Quality Resource Group	100,000		105,000		110,000	115,000
New French Bakery	 310,000		330,000		350,000	 365,000
Total principal payments	\$ 3,370,000	\$	3,125,000	\$	2,715,000	\$ 2,700,000
Total interest payments	 3,248,246		3,066,643		2,908,787	2,767,750
Total Maturities	\$ 6,618,246	\$	6,191,643	\$	5,623,787	\$ 5,467,750

	2014	2015-2019	2020-2024	2025-2029	2030-2034	2035	Total
\$	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,185,000
	165,000	510,000	- -	- -	- -	- -	1,245,000
	200,000	435,000	-	-	-	-	1,325,000
	80,000	480,000	645,000	500,000	-	-	1,980,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-	685,000
	95,000	435,000	-	-	-	-	865,000
	185,000	1,010,000	-	-	-	-	1,845,000
	55,000	350,000	480,000	115,000	-	-	1,195,000
	95,000	560,000	130,000	-	-	-	1,115,000
	135,000	835,000	655,000	-	-	-	2,105,000
	170,000	615,000	625,000	-	-	-	2,030,000
	145,000	535,000	705,000	-	-	-	1,915,000
	420,000	2,485,000	3,355,000	4,530,000	6,145,000	1,470,000	19,865,000
	600,000	2,980,000	1,140,000	565,000	-	-	7,405,000
	120,000	715,000	945,000	705,000	-	-	2,915,000
	385,000	2,235,000	2,860,000	2,855,000			9,690,000
\$	2,850,000	\$ 14,180,000	\$ 11,540,000	\$ 9,270,000	\$ 6,145,000	\$ 1,470,000	\$ 57,365,000
_	2,622,809	10,745,849	7,115,765	3,859,453	1,671,705	92,610	38,099,617
\$	5,472,809	\$ 24,925,849	\$ 18,655,765	\$ 13,129,453	\$ 7,816,705	\$ 1,562,610	\$ 95,464,617

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SCHEDULE OF CAPITALIZED LEASES AND NOTES RECEIVABLE DECEMBER 31, 2009

	Total Lease/Note Payments				
Capitalized Leases					
Halper Box	\$ 1,565,472	\$	320,472		
Baker Bearing	1,631,585		306,585		
Laurel Village Alden Limited Partnership II	3,230,325		1,250,325		
100 East 22nd Associates - A	742,475		57,475		
Cord Sets	1,095,588		230,588		
Discount Steel - A	2,351,575		506,575		
Pajor & Associates	1,986,400		791,400		
Resource, Inc.	1,547,775		432,775		
Bridgerail Properties	3,238,000		1,133,000		
Kristol Properties	2,792,166		762,166		
Infinite Graphics	2,764,863		849,863		
Historic Theatre Trust	40,641,612		20,776,612		
Ambassador Press	10,588,900		3,183,900		
Quality Resource Group	4,742,012		1,827,012		
New French Bakery	 15,289,169		5,599,169		
Total capitalized leases	\$ 94,207,917	\$	38,027,917		
Notes Receivable					
Carlson Companies	 1,256,700		71,700		
Total Capitalized Leases and Notes Receivable	\$ 95,464,617	\$	38,099,617		

2008 Amounts

Total Principal					Capitalized Lease/Note Receivable		Current Portion	Noncurrent Portion		
\$	1,245,000	\$	4,899	\$	1,240,101	\$	130,000	\$	1,110,101	
Ψ	1,325,000	Ψ	-	Ψ	1,325,000	Ψ	160,000	Ψ	1,165,000	
	1,980,000		_		1,980,000		65,000		1,915,000	
	685,000		_		685,000		265,000		420,000	
	865,000		_		865,000		80,000		785,000	
	1,845,000		_		1,845,000		150,000		1,695,000	
	1,195,000		_		1,195,000		45,000		1,150,000	
	1,115,000		_		1,115,000		75,000		1,040,000	
	2,105,000		-		2,105,000		110,000		1,995,000	
	2,030,000		-		2,030,000		145,000		1,885,000	
	1,915,000		_		1,915,000		125,000		1,790,000	
	19,865,000		-		19,865,000		335,000		19,530,000	
	7,405,000		5		7,404,995		495,000		6,909,995	
	2,915,000		-		2,915,000		100,000		2,815,000	
	9,690,000		11		9,689,989		310,000		9,379,989	
\$	56,180,000	\$	4,915	\$	56,175,085	\$	2,590,000	\$	53,585,085	
	1,185,000				1,185,000		780,000		405,000	
\$	57,365,000	\$	4,915	\$	57,360,085	\$	3,370,000	\$	53,990,085	
				\$	60,661,404	\$	3,190,000	\$	57,471,404	

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CAPITALIZED LEASES AND NOTES RECEIVABLE MATURITIES, INCLUDING INTEREST DECEMBER 31, 2009

	20	10	2011		2012		 2013
Capitalized Leases							
Halper Box	\$ 2	02,472	\$	204,268	\$	200,504	\$ 201,279
Baker Bearing	2	37,030		232,115		231,575	235,260
Laurel Village Alden Limited Partnership II	1	76,895		173,450		174,940	176,090
100 East 22nd Associates - A	2	99,100		294,388		148,987	-
Cord Sets	1	25,375		120,975		121,438	121,625
Discount Steel - A	2	41,025		243,275		240,150	241,650
Pajor & Associates	1	24,663		121,962		124,038	125,787
Resource, Inc.	1	40,175		141,275		141,675	141,787
Bridgerail Properties	2	48,125		247,075		250,750	248,875
Kristol Properties	2	41,290		245,998		244,875	238,075
Infinite Graphics	2	25,888		225,700		224,200	222,450
Historic Theatre Trust	1,5	61,105		1,563,584		1,563,456	1,562,194
Ambassador Press	8	87,849		890,674		886,799	886,369
Quality Resource Group	2	64,348		264,068		263,524	262,715
New French Bakery	8	03,356		805,686	_	806,876	 803,594
Total capitalized lease maturities	\$ 5,7	78,696	\$	5,774,493	\$	5,623,787	\$ 5,467,750
Notes Receivable							
Carlson Companies	8	39,550		417,150			
Total Maturities	\$ 6,6	18,246	\$	6,191,643	\$	5,623,787	\$ 5,467,750

_	2014	2	015-2019	2	020-2024	20	25-2029	2	2030-2034		2035	Total	
\$	201,439	\$	555,510	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,565,472
	233,170		462,435		-		-		-		_		1,631,585
	176,928		891,527		902,070		558,425		-		-		3,230,325
	-		-		-		-		-		_		742,475
	121,538		484,637		-		-		-		-		1,095,588
	242,650		1,142,825		-		-		-		-		2,351,575
	122,212		624,913		620,063		122,762		-		-		1,986,400
	141,613		703,450		137,800		-		-		-		1,547,775
	246,725		1,247,650		748,800		-		-		-		3,238,000
	241,115		873,556		707,257		-		-		-		2,792,166
	220,450		820,725		825,450		-		-		-		2,764,863
	1,564,797		7,811,158		7,817,658		7,818,345		7,816,705		1,562,610		40,641,612
	894,259		3,967,555		1,554,820		620,575		-		-		10,588,900
	261,482		1,316,076		1,320,703		789,096		-		-		4,742,012
	804,431		4,023,832		4,021,144		3,220,250		-	_	-	_	15,289,169
\$	5,472,809	\$ 2	24,925,849	\$ 1	8,655,765	\$ 13	3,129,453	\$	7,816,705	\$	1,562,610	\$	94,207,917
			-						-				1,256,700
\$	5,472,809	\$ 2	24,925,849	\$ 1	8,655,765	\$ 1.	3,129,453	\$	7,816,705	\$	1,562,610	\$	95,464,617







STATE OF MINNESOTA OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

SUITE 500 525 PARK STREET SAINT PAUL, MN 55103-2139

(651) 296-2551 (Voice) (651) 296-4755 (Fax) state.auditor@state.mn.us (E-mail) 1-800-627-3529 (Relay Service)

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH ENABLING RESOLUTIONS

Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Minneapolis, Minnesota Community Planning and Economic Development Department

We have audited the basic financial statements of the General Agency Reserve Fund System, a part of the City of Minneapolis Community Planning and Economic Development Department (CPED), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated April 29, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the CPED failed to comply with the financial terms, financial covenants, financial provisions, or financial conditions of the Basic Resolution and Indenture (82-512) or the amendments relating to financial matters establishing the General Agency Reserve for Bonds (82-513), establishing an Industrial Development Bond (IDB) account (82-514), providing funding for the IDB account (83-665), clarifying permitted investments of funds relating to the Common Bond Fund (84-765), amending and restating the Basic Resolution of the City of Minneapolis (A and B) (04-256, 04-257), and supplementing the Basic Resolution (04-258), insofar as they relate to financial and accounting matters. However, the objective of our audit of the financial statements was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such compliance.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Mayor, Members of the Minneapolis City Council, and CPED's management and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

/s/Rebecca Otto

/s/Greg Hierlinger

REBECCA OTTO STATE AUDITOR GREG HIERLINGER, CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

April 29, 2010