

To: The Office of the State Auditor

Addendum to Performance Measures Report for the City of McGregor

The following is a true and accurate excerpt from the July 3, 2012, McGregor City Council meeting:

Motion was made by Penny Olson, seconded by Cindy Wencil and passed unanimously to approve the following:

1. The city has adopted and implemented the minimum 10 performance measures developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation (PDF format).
2. The city has implemented or is in the process of implementing a local performance measurement system as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation (PDF format).
3. The city has or will report the results of the 10 adopted measures to its residents before the end of the calendar year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the entity's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed (PDF format).
4. The city has or will survey its residents by the end of the calendar year on the services included in the performance benchmarks (PDF format).

Judith M. Cirilli

Judith M. Cirilli, Clerk-Treasurer

City of McGregor, MN

Performance Measures Report: City of McGregor MN

Excerpt from the July 3, 2012, City Council minutes:

Motion was made by Penny Olson, seconded by Cindy Wencil and passed unanimously to approve the following:

1. The city has adopted and implemented the minimum 10 performance measures developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation (PDF format).
2. The city has implemented or is in the process of implementing a local performance measurement system as developed by the Council on Local Results and Innovation (PDF format).
3. The city has or will report the results of the 10 adopted measures to its residents before the end of the calendar year through publication, direct mailing, posting on the entity's website, or through a public hearing at which the budget and levy will be discussed and public input allowed (PDF format).
4. The city has or will survey its residents by the end of the calendar year on the services included in the performance benchmarks (PDF format).

Results from survey:

Question #	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			Total
1. Quality of services in general		6	26	7	2		41
2. Overall appearance		1	13	19	8		41
3. Rate safety	Very safe	Somewhat safe	Neither	Somewhat unsafe	Very unsafe		
	9	21	10	1	2		43
4. Fire protection	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			
	23	17	1	0			41
5. Road conditions	Good condition	Mostly good	Many bad spots				
	4	23	15				42

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
6. Snowplowing		6	28	5	3	42
7. Dependable and quality water supply		10	22	5	1	38
8. Sewer dependable and quality		12	23	6		41
9. Recreational programs/facilities		3	11	13	8	35

Comments from Survey Forms:

Comments from Evaluation Forms, June 2012:

Recreational programs, facilities—Not sure what we have.

I'm embarrassed by the appearance of many properties. Some bldgs. need demolition.

Sad that town is not growing or moving to 210.

Many pot holes and big dips in road. Why cannot all roads be tarred?

My biggest concern: excessive vehicle/truck speeds on Maddy Street.

City Maintenance Crew does great job—just lots of homeowners who don't pick up their homes or yards.

Recreational programs and facilities—What are they? Sorry, can't think of any.

We need a town cop!

Services—what services—that's funny???

Sidewalks needed

City park—poor

Old Garden Center—poor appearance

Safety—kids riding in streets, not looking for cars. Parking at Post Office.

Appearance—some very good, others poor.