Description of the Office of the State Auditor

The mission of the Office of the State Auditor is to oversee local government finances for Minnesota taxpayers by helping to ensure financial integrity and accountability in local governmental financial activities.

Through financial, compliance, and special audits, the State Auditor oversees and ensures that local government funds are used for the purposes intended by law and that local governments hold themselves to the highest standards of financial accountability.

The State Auditor performs approximately 160 financial and compliance audits per year and has oversight responsibilities for over 3,300 local units of government throughout the state. The office currently maintains five divisions:

**Audit Practice** - conducts financial and legal compliance audits of local governments;

**Government Information** - collects and analyzes financial information for cities, towns, counties, and special districts;

**Legal/Special Investigations** - provides legal analysis and counsel to the Office and responds to outside inquiries about Minnesota local government law; as well as investigates allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in local government;

**Pension** - monitors investment, financial, and actuarial reporting for approximately 730 public pension funds; and

**Tax Increment Financing** - promotes compliance and accountability in local governments’ use of tax increment financing through financial and compliance audits.

The State Auditor serves on the State Executive Council, State Board of Investment, Land Exchange Board, Public Employees Retirement Association Board, Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, and the Rural Finance Authority Board.

Office of the State Auditor
525 Park Street, Suite 500
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55103
(651) 296-2551
state.auditor@osa.state.mn.us
www.auditor.state.mn.us

This document can be made available in alternative formats upon request. Call 651-296-2551 [voice] or 1-800-627-3529 [relay service] for assistance; or visit the Office of the State Auditor’s web site: www.auditor.state.mn.us.
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INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Ms. Sue Perkins, State Program Administrator
Minnesota Department of Public Safety

Southwest Metro Drug Task Force
Oversight Committee

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Minnesota Department of Public Safety and the Southwest Metro Drug Task Force, solely to assist you in determining that the Southwest Metro Drug Task Force followed policies and procedures regarding accounting for seized funds and property and related forfeitures and the use of confidential/drug buy funds. These procedures were applied to the Southwest Metro Drug Task Force records for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2011. The Southwest Metro Drug Task Force’s management is responsible for the records of the Task Force. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Minnesota Department of Public Safety and the Southwest Metro Drug Task Force. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

1. Procedure

Determine that seized funds and property and related forfeitures are accounted for in accordance with policies, procedures, and regulations.

Findings

We obtained a list of all property seized subject to forfeiture for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2011. The 40 items on the list consisted of cash, coins and gold certificates, vehicles, and weapons. We selected 1 weapon, 3 cash seizures, 1 coin and gold certificate seizure, and 1 vehicle for testing. We traced the documentation of the
activity for each selected item from the point of seizure to the record of the item being held in forfeiture pending judicial order or to the forfeiture record for closed cases, as applicable.

The policy identified in 3-14.5.2 of the Multijurisdictional Task Force Operating Procedures and Guidelines Manual adopted by the Gang/Drug Oversight Council is for Seized/Evidence Currency Logs to be completed for each of the Task Force’s cash seizures. We were informed that the practice is for the only copy of the Seized/Evidence Currency Log, which is directly recorded on the evidence bag, to follow the cash to the Task Force’s fiscal agent who maintains a copy of it on file once the cash has been reconciled to the Log for deposit. As a control to verify and track seized cash, we recommend the Task Force keep a copy of the Seized/Evidence Currency Logs.

2. Procedure

Determine that the use of confidential/drug buy funds is accounted for in accordance with policies, procedures, and regulations.

Findings

We obtained a list of all purchases made with buy funds from January 1 to December 31, 2011. Beginning in October 2011, buy funds are withdrawn by each investigator using ATM cards. There is a daily withdrawal limit for each ATM card. Prior to that time, buy funds were kept in a locked safe in the Commander’s office. Buy funds are generally used for payments to confidential informants for information, drug purchases, and flash money. We selected 11 of the 102 items on the list to trace the documentation of activity from the point of request for buy funds to approval. Of the 11 items selected, 4 were payments to confidential informants, 1 was for a purchase of drugs, 1 was a payment for a trash pull, and 5 were for other investigative expenses.

We noted one instance where buy funds were used to purchase gas for an investigator’s vehicle and another instance where buy funds were used to purchase batteries for a wire. Upon further inquiry, additional explanation was provided that indicated the purchases were made in emergency situations; however, this was not documented on the buy fund expense reports.

* * * * *

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accounting records. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Minnesota Department of Public Safety and the Southwest Metro Drug Task Force and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.
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